



Evaluate the Efficacy of Different Biopesticides with Imidacloprid against Maize Spotted Stem Borer (*Chilo partellus swinhoe*) on maize under field conditions

Abhishek Singh^{a++*} and Usha Yadav^{a#}

^a Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj -211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183364

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103113>

Original Research Article

Received: 18/05/2023
Accepted: 20/07/2023
Published: 26/07/2023

ABSTRACT

An experiment of Maize stem borer *Chilo partellus* using different Bio-pesticides in field condition was carried out during *Kharif* 2022-2023 at central Research field SHUATS Prayagraj, UP., India. The management of Maize stem borer was done using 8 different treatments and benefit cost ratios of all the treatments were calculated. Total two sprays were applied to protect the crop from *Chilo partellus* using randomized block design with three replications. The observations of *Chilo partellus* 24 hours before (Pre- treatment) and 3rd, 7th and 14th day after spraying (post-treatment) were recorded for computing the percent of larval population reduction. The data were subjected to

⁺⁺ M.Sc Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: amitpatel101298@gmail.com;

statistical analysis after appropriate transformation for interpretation. The treatment with recommended insecticide cost benefit ratio and yield is in Imidacloprid 200 SL (T7) (1:5.01) (40.49q/ha) followed by *Beauveria bassiana* (2 CFU \times 10⁸ ml) (T1) (1:4.53) (38.45q/ha), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (10⁸ CFU/ml) (T4) (1:4.41) (37.7q/ha), *Metarhizium anisopliae* (T2) (1:4.20) (36.79q/ha), NPV (T3) (1:4.03) (35.98q/ha), NSKE @ 5% (T5) (1:3.97) (34.6q/ha), Azadirachtin 0.03% (T6) (1:3.85) (33.57q/ha) Least monetary return and yield was obtained with control (T0) (1:3.52) (28.4q/ha).

Keywords: Biopesticides; *chiloptartellus*; management; maize stem borer; imidacloprid 200SL.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Maize (*Zea mays* L.) has become a staple food in many parts of the world, with total production surpassing that of wheat or rice. It is an important staple food crop in Asia and Africa. Maize occupies a pride place among cereal crops in India and due to its high yield potential is called the queen of cereals” [1].

“Maize grain has elevated nutritive value as it contains about 72% starch, 10% protein, 4.8% oil, 5.8% fibre and 3% sugar. At present, out of the total maize produced, 55% is used for food purpose, about 14% for livestock, 18 % for poultry feed, 12 % for starch and one percent as seed” [2]. “It is cultivated in nearly 201 m/ha with a production of 1162 m tonnes and productivity of 5754.7 kg/ha all over the world, having wider diversity of soil, climate, biodiversity and management practices” (FAOSTAT 2020). “India produced 31.51 million tonnes in an area of 9.9 million hectares in 2020-21, whereas in *kharif* 2021-22, maize production was 21.24 million tonnes (1st advance estimates) in an area of 8.15 million hectares.

Out of 66 insects reported in maize field, there are 14 major pests such as Maize stem borer (*Chilo partellus* Swinhoe), Fall Armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith), White grub etc. among which Maize stem borer *Chilo partellus* is more complex now a days”. (Pokharel et. al., 2021).

Chilo partellus was first mentioned by Charles Swinhoe in 1885 (CABI 2019). *Chilo partellus* is cosmopolitan in nature having its origin in Asia and its severity was also reported in the African region. (Pokharel et. al., 2021). “Stem borer can cause severe damage at different stages in the development of cereal crops from seedling to maturity. When infestation is severe, there is a physiological disruption of plant growth hence tassel emergence and grain formation are severely affected” [3]. *Chilo partellus*, popularly

known as stalk borer that occurs during monsoon season is a major pest throughout the country. *Chilo partellus* lays eggs 10-25 days after germination on the lower side of the leaves. The larva of the *Chilo partellus* enters the whorl and causes damage to the leaves. The losses ranging 26.7 to 80.4 per cent have been reported due to *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe.

“Out of them, *Chilo Partellus* (Swinhoe) is a serious pest of maize throughout India during *kharif* season causing grain yield loss of 24.3 to 36.3 percent. Almost 75% damage of the crop occurs due to attack of maize stem borer” [4].

“The applications of various insecticides with different modes of action strengthen insecticide resistance management strategy. Thus, to demonstrate these promising tools of pest management at farmers' fields and economic comparison of different insecticidal treatments is necessary” [5].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted at the Central Research Farm, SHUATS, Prayagraj during *kharif* season 2022-23. The experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season 2022 at SHUATS, Central research farm, Prayagraj, is situated at 25.27°C north latitude 80.50°C East longitude. The research trails was laid out during the *Kharif* season of 2022 in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications, seven treatments and untreated control.

In the experiment maize variety, Aarhoee was sown, The site selected was uniform, cultivable with typical sandy loam soil having good drainage. Each block were sub-divided into 2m \times 1m with maintaining 30cm borders as bund and the treatment were assigned randomly. The field trail was conducted with seven insecticides + biopesticides treatments in which include (T1) *Beauveria bassiana* 10⁸ CFU/ml@1g/lit, (T2)

Metarhizium anisopliae@2.5ml/lit, (T3) Nuclear polyhedrosis virus @400-500ppm, (T4) *Bacillus thuringiensis* 10⁸CFU/m@750ml/ha, (T5) Neem seed kernel extract 5% @5 ml/lit, (T6) Azadirachtin 0.03%@5.0ml/l, (T7) Imidachloropid 200SL 0.5ml/lit, (T0) Control.

Application of treatments for the management of the *Chilo partellus* was initiated as soon as 5% ETL of Larval population observed in experimental field. Subsequent application was under taken at an interval of 3, 7, 14 days were made during experimental period. The observation was recorded on weekly intervals throughout the cropping season. To assess the incidence of stem borer at weekly intervals the total number of plants and number of plants larval population observed was counted from each plot.

The Larval population observed of the maize stem borer was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\text{Larval population} = \frac{\text{No. of larvae}}{\text{Total no. of plants}}$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study entitled "Efficacy of certain chemicals and biopesticides against maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe)" was undertaken the data so obtained through observation on various aspects were subjected to statistical analysis wherever necessary and the compiled mean data are present in the following pages.

The data on the effect of various treatments on the reduction of larval population of Maize spotted Stem borer *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) after 1st and 2nd spray overall mean revealed that All the insecticides were significantly superior over control in reducing the larval population of stem borer which were recorded after insecticidal application Imidacloprid 200SL was found significantly superior (3.37) Over other treatments [6], followed by *Beauveria bassiana* (3.75), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (4.22) [7], *Metarhizium anisopliae* (4.71) [8], *Nuclear polyhedrosis virus* (5.17) [9]. Neem seed kernel extract (5.60), Azadirachtin (6.06) [10] as compared to control (8.96).

The data showed that the highest grain yield Imidacloprid (40.49q/ha) these findings are similar with Neupane et. al., [11] with the result of (3.38t/ha), followed by the *Beauveria bassiana*

(38.45q/ha) and these findings are similar with Adhikari et al., [8] with the result of (42.50q/ha), The next best treatment is *Bacillus thuringiensis* (37.70q/ha) these findings are supported by Dhaliwal et al., [12] with the result of (34.86q/ha), the next best treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* (36.79q/ha) are similar with Hegde et al., [7] with the result (35.46q/ha), the next best treatment is *Nuclear polyhedrosis virus* (35.98 q/ha). Neem seed of kernel extract (34.60 q/ha) and these findings are very close to Dinesh et al., [4] with result (32.70q/ha). The next best treatment is Azadirachtin (33.75 q/ha) these findings are similar to Dinesh et. al., [4] with the result of (33.70 q/ha) as compared to control (28.40 q/ha).

When the cost benefit ratio is worked out, interesting result was achieved. Among the treatments studied, the best and economical treatment was Imidacloprid (1:5.01) and was found significantly superior over rest of the treatments and these findings are similar to [13] with the result of (1:4.89). Which was followed by *Beauveria bassiana* with (1:4.53) these findings are similar to Babu and kumar [14] with the result of (1:3.31), the next best treatment is *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1:4.41) these findings are similar to [7] with the result (1:3:5), *Metarhizium anisopliae* (1:4.20) these finding similar to Divya and Mariappan [15] with the result (1:3.8), *Nuclear polyhedrosis virus* with (1:4.03), these findings similar to Ramesh et. al., [16] with the result (1:3.60) the next best treatment is Neem seed kernel extract (1:3.97) these findings are close with Babu and Kumar [14] with the result of (1:2.39) and Azadirachtin 0.03% with (1:3.85) these findings are supported by Dinesh et al., [4] with the result of (1:1.33) and untreated control (1:3.52).

3.1 Cost Benefit Ratio of Treatments

Gross returns was calculated by multiplying total yield with market price of the produce [17-21]. Cost of cultivation and cost of treatments was deducted from the gross returns, to find out returns and cost benefit of ratio by following formula,

$$BCR = \frac{\text{Gross return}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation}}$$

Where,

BCR=Benefit cost ratio

Table 1. Efficacy of different biopesticides with imidacloprid against maize spotted stem borer (*Chilo partellus swinhoe*) (1st and 2nd spray)

S. No.	Treatments	Dosage	Larval Population of <i>chilo partellus</i>								Overall mean	Yield(q/ha)	C:B Ratio	
			First spray					Second spray						
			1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	Mean	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS				
T1	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (10 ⁸ CFU/ml)	1g/lit	7.200 (15.43)	5.000 ^e (12.89)	4.000 ^e (11.69)	4.600 ^{ef} (12.34)	4.533 ^g (12.34)	3.533 ^e (10.82)	3.133 ^e (10.18)	g (8.63)	2.978 ^g (9.71)	3.756 ^{de} (11.11)	38.45	1:4.53
T2	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	2.5ml/lit	6.133 (14.32)	5.667 (13.74)	5.267 ^c (13.23)	5.467 ^{cd} (13.49)	5.467 ^{de} (13.51)	d (12.28)	d (11.72)	3.200 ^e (10.29)	3.955 ^{de} (11.44)	4.711 ^{bcd} (12.49)	36.79	1:4.20
T3	<i>Nuclear polyhedrosis virus</i>	400-500ppm	6.667 (14.94)	6.133 ^c (14.31)	5.600 ^c (13.65)	5.867 ^c (13.98)	5.867 ^{cd} (14.01)	c (13.09)	d (12.10)	4.600 (11.12)	4.489 ^{cd} (12.21)	5.178 ^{bcd} (13.12)	35.98	1:4.03
T4	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> (10 ⁸ CFU/m)	750 ml/ha	6.400 (14.59)	5.400 ^d (13.43)	4.533 ^d (12.26)	5.000 ^{d^e} (12.89)	4.978 ^{ef} (12.88)	d (11.72)	3.600 ^e (10.61)	2.667 ^f (9.37)	3.467 ^{ef} (10.68)	4.223 ^{cd^e} (11.81)	37.70	1:4.41
T5	Neem seed kernel extract @5%	5 ml/lit	6.867 (15.18)	6.533 ^b (14.79)	6.133 ^b (14.32)	6.400 ^b (14.46)	6.355 ^{bc} (14.59)	5.533 ^C (13.60)	4.867 ^C (12.74)	4.133 ^C (11.71)	4.844 ^C (12.68)	5.600 ^{bc} (13.65)	34.60	1:3.97
T6	Azadirachtin (0.03%)	5 ml/lit	7.467 (15.84)	6.800 ^b (14.95)	6.400 ^b (14.63)	6.533 ^b (14.77)	6.578 ^b (14.85)	b (14.49)	5.467 ^b (13.51)	4.800 ^b (12.64)	5.556 ^b (13.60)	6.067 ^b (14.24)	33.57	1:3.85
T7	Imidachloropid 200SL	0.5 ml/lit	6.200 (14.32)	4.667 ^e (12.44)	3.800 ^e (11.18)	4.400 ^f (12.06)	4.289 ^g (11.93)	3.133 ^e (10.18)	f (9.02)	2.467 (7.67)	2.467 ^g (8.97)	3.378 ^e (10.49)	40.49	1:5.01
T8	Control	-	7.667 (16.06)	7.800 ^a (16.20)	8.767 ^a (17.22)	8.900 ^a (17.35)	8.489 ^a (16.91)	9.200 ^a (17.65)	9.400 ^a (17.85)	9.733 ^a (18.17)	9.444 ^a (17.89)	8.967 ^a (17.41)	28.40	1:3.52
F-Test			NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
S.Ed.(±)			NS	0.16	0.23	0.22	0.26	0.23	0.24	0.17	0.29	0.91		
CD (0.05)			NS	0.33	0.48	0.48	0.583	0.49	0.504	0.360	0.623	1.87		-

4. CONCLUSION

From the present study, the results showed that Imidacloprid 200 SL (T7), is the most effective treatment against maize stem borer and produces maximum yield, and recorded the highest Cost-Benefit ratio compared to other treatments. From the critical analysis of the present findings it can be concluded that maize stem borer increases with maximum temperature and decreased with decline in minimum temperature. Insecticides like *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10^8 CFU/ml (T1) and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (T4), was found significantly superior than other treatments. Followed next effective treatment found was *Metarhizium anisopilae* (T2), *Npv* (T3). However, NSKE @5% (T5) and *Azadirachtin* 0.03% (T6) found to be least effective in managing maize stem borer (*Chilo partellus*) as an effective tool under chemical control. Hence, it is suggested that effective insecticides may be alternated along with biopesticides with the existing Integrated pest management programs to avoid the problems associated with insecticidal resistance, pest resurgence etc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. (Dr.) Rajendra B. Lal, Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, SHUATS, Prof. (Dr.) Shailesh Marker, Director of Research, Prof. (Dr.) Biswaroop Mehra, Dean, Naini Agriculture Institute and Dr. Ashwani Kumar, Associate Prof. and Head, Department of Entomology, SHUATS for taking their keen interest and encouragement to carry out this research work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kumar A, Kumar A. Field efficacy of seven insecticides against *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) on maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Allahabad. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1591-1593.
2. Krishna DV, Kumar A. Comparative efficacy of certain chemicals against maize stem borer (*Chilo partellus*) in Allahabad region. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research. 2018;9(8):696-700.
3. AAddo-Bediako, NThanguane, Stem borer distribution in different sorghum cultivars as influenced by soil fertility. Agricultural Science Research Journal. 2012;2(4):189-194.
4. Dinesh MV, Simon S, Nagar S. Biorational management of stem borer, *Chilo partellus* in maize. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(4):1142-1145.
5. Anonymous; 2016. Available: <http://www.indiastat.org/agriculture/2/foodgrains/17180/maize>
6. Haq I, Sattar S, Ahmed B, Zeb Q, Usman A. Compatibility of chemical and biological control for the management of maize stem borer, *Chilo Partellus*, (Swinhoe) (Lepidoptera; *Pyralidae*). Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2018;34(4):896-903.
7. Hegde K, Manjunatha M, Sharanabasappa, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Adaha SK. Effect of application of biopesticides and insecticides on stem borers and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) International Journal of Pure Applied Biosciences. 2017;5(1):42-47.
8. Adhikari B, Sapkota R, Thapa RB, Bhandari G, Dahal KC. Biorational management of maize stem borer *Chilo partellus* (swinhoe). Azarian Journal of Agriculture. 2021;9(8):38-44.
9. Tayde AR, Ramesh M. Comparative efficacy of selected chemicals and biopesticides against maize stem borer (*Chilo partellus*) on maize. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;15(6):466-467.
10. Rani DS, Satya Sri CN, Kumar KA, Venkatesh MN. Economic evaluation and efficacy of various insecticides against maize stem borers. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018; 7(3):15-20.
11. Neupane S, Bhandari G, Sharma SD, Yadav S, Subedi S. Management of stem borer (*Chilo partellus* Swinhoe) in maize using conventional pesticides in Chitwan, Nepal. Journal of Maize Research and Development. 2016;2(1):13-19.
12. Dhaliwal AK, Brar DS, Jindal J. Evaluation of new insecticides against maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (swinhoe). Indian Journal of Entomology. 2018;80(3):975-978.

13. Reddy GV, Kumar A. Efficacy of various insecticides against maize stem borer *Chilo partellus* (swinhoe) and their cost-benefit analysis at Prayagraj. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2021;9(6):130-134.
14. Babu JS, Kumar A. Efficacy of certain chemicals and biopesticides against maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(7):4156-4159.
15. Divya S, Mariappan VN. Integrated approach for the management of major insect pest in maize agro-ecosystem in Perambalur district; 2019.
16. Ramesh K, Kumar A, Kalita H. Biorational management of stem borer, *Chilo partellus* in maize. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2012;40(3):208-213.
17. Prakash V, Singh DV, Singh R, Singh G, Kumar S. Efficacy of some novel insecticide against maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (swinhoe) in maize. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; SP1:481-484.
18. Renuka S, Ramanujam B, Poornesha B. Screening of *Beauveria bassiana* (balsamo) vuillemin isolates against maize Stem Borer, *Chilo partellus* (lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and the effect of solid substrates on conidial production and virulence. Journal of Pure Applied Microbiology. 2015;9(4):2979-2986.
19. Malav SK, Lyall H, Sharma AK, Sharma S, Mehta V, Malav H. Efficacy of certain chemical insecticides and neem oil against stem borer (*Chilo partellus* swin) on maize (*Zea mays* L.) International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Management. 2018;(I).
20. Parajuli D, Ojha B, Panthee AA, Pokharel A, Gautam B. Management practices of maize stem borer (*Chilo partellus* swinhoe) in Nepal. Acta Entomology and Zoology. 2021;2(2):13-22.
21. Saranya VSL, Samiayyan K. Efficacy of different botanicals as ovicidal molecules and oviposition deterrents against maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (swinhoe). Annals of plant Protection Sciences. 2017;25(1):12-15.

© 2023 Singh and Yadav; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103113>