

Asian Food Science Journal

Volume 22, Issue 9, Page 117-121, 2023; Article no.AFSJ.104526 ISSN: 2581-7752

Changes in the Physical Quality of Culled Layer Chicken Meat at Different Ultrasonic Time Levels

Revy Arina Roudhotul Khoiriyah ^{a++*}, Khotibul Umam Al Awwaly ^{a#} and Agus Susilo ^{a#}

^a Animal Product Technology Department, Faculty of Animal Science, University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AFSJ/2023/v22i9663

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104526

Original Research Article

Received: 02/06/2023 Accepted: 06/08/2023 Published: 12/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aims to determine changes in the quality of culled layer chicken meat at different ultrasonic time levels based on Cooking loss, pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Tenderness and Color L*a*b*. the material used is culled layer chicken meat which has been ultrasonic.

Study Design: The method used in this research is experimental laboratory using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 5 treatments and 4 replications.

Place and Duration of Study: Animal Product Technology Departement, Faculty of Animal Science, University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia, between September-November 2022.

Methodology: Ultrasonic treatment for 10 minutes (P1), 15 minutes (P2), 20 minutes (P3), 25 minutes (P4), and 30 minutes (P5). Parameters observed were Cooking loss, pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Tenderness and Color. Data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance

Asian Food Sci. J., vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 117-121, 2023

⁺⁺ Student of Postgraduate Program;

[#] Lecturer;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: revyarina25@student.ub.ac.id, revyarina.rk@gmail.com;

(ANOVA). If the data showed a significant difference, continued with Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

Results: The average cooking loss value is 2.24-4.07; pH 6.21-6.40; EC 0.61-0.76; tenderness 6.9-10.8; color L* 45.79-51.62; a*6.30-6.54; b* 12.56-13.19.

Conclusion: The results showed that ultrasonic treatment with a different time in culled layer chicken meat had no significant effect (P>0.05) on the pH, EC, color but could have significant.

Keywords: Ultrasonic; culled layer; meat; quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Food technology is currently developing rapidly. Several innovations were carried out aimed at maintaining the quality of the products to be marketed to meet consumer demand. One of these methods used to process foods is high power ultrasonic. Ultrasonic is a form of energy generated by a sonic pressure wave with a frequency greater (above 20 kHz) than the upper limit of the human hearing range. Based on frequency range, ultrasonic is divided into two categories as low (low intensity) and high power energy (high-intensity)" [1], (Awad et al. 2012; Turantas et al. 2015). "The applications for which high power ultrasonic can be used range from existing processes that are enhanced by the retro-fitting of high power ultrasonic technology, to the development of processes up to now not possible with conventional energy sources" [2]. "Meat is one of the livestock products which is a source of high quality animal protein and is widely consumed, meat quality is a factor that is considered by consumers" [3,4]. "Applications of ultrasonic at high intensities to provoke changes in physical and chemical properties of meat and meat products" [1]. "Ultrasound pretreatment could be a suitable technology applied to improve the quality of the chicken bone" [5]. The aim of this paper is to review the effects of power ultrasonic on the technological properties and quality of culled layer chicken meat.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The ingredients used in this research were: culled layer chicken meat, distilled water, and buffer solutions 4 and 7. The tools used in this research were: ultrasonic bath, cutting board, erlenmeyer, measuring cup, analytical balance, beaker glass, stirrer, label, EC meter, knife, zip lock bag transparent, warner-blatzler, colorimeter and pH meter.

2.1 Sample Preparation

Culled layer chicken meats were purchased from a layer farm in Pakis. Then, the samples were closely wrapped in a plastic box. The samples were stored in the freezer (\leq -18°C). Before that, the skin and fat were separated so that only the meat remained, then the culled layer chicken meat was cut into 1x1x2 cm³.

2.2 Ultrasonic Process

The ultrasonic process used an ultrasonic bath with a sample of 50 g culled layer chicken meat. The sample was put into a zip lock bag transparent then were processed in an ultrasonic bath with the length of time according to the treatment that had been determined P1 (10 minutes), P2 (15 minutes), P3 (20 minutes), P4 (25 minutes) and P5 (30 minutes).

2.3 Analysis Procedure

2.3.1 Cooking loss Test

Cooking losses were calculated from the time course of water content in the sample. The discrepancy between the weight of the sample before and after cooking procedure was used to measure cooking loss. Samples as follows:

 $\frac{\text{weight loss}}{\text{initial fresh meat weight}} x 100\%$

2.3.2 pH Test

pH or potential of hydrogen is an indication of meat quality based on the degree of acidity to express acid or base. The measurement method is the tool is calibrated with a buffer at pH 4 and pH 7. Samples of 5 g culled layer chicken meat were crushed then 25 ml distilled water was added and stirred until it became homogeneous then measured using a pH meter.

2.3.3 Electrical Conductivity Test

The electrical conductivity (EC) is determined by measuring the concentration and mobility of ion. 5 gram of sample was homogenized with 50 ml of distilled water, stirred until homogeneous and waited for 15 minutes, then measured using an EC meter.

2.3.4 Tenderness Test

The tenderness test used a sample of culled layer chicken meat that has been ultrasonic and has been cut 1 x 1 x 2 cm³ Measurement of tenderness value used the warner bratzler tool.

2.3.5 Color Test

Color was measured on the surface area of culled layer chicken meat for three replicates of upper, middle and lower areas. Instrumental color measurements by using colorimeter and was reported in the CIE color system (L*a*b*).

2.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis results were performed by using ANOVA (Analysis of Variance). If the data showed a real or very real test, then it was continued with the multiple distance duncan test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Cooking Loss

Table 1 showed that the result of the cooking loss test on culled layer chicken meat had significant effect (P<0.05) ranging from 2.24 to 4.07. The highest average cooking loss value was 4.07 at P5 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 30 minutes) and the lowest average was 2.24 at P1 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 10 minutes). Mehrabani et al. [6] reported that the samples did not show a significant reduction in cooking loss by applying ultrasound alone. Cooking loss in chicken increased when heating time was

increased [7]. But Alarcon-Rojo et al. [8] reported that "ultrasonic has a significant effect to reducing cooking losses without affecting other quality parameters. This is presumably because the treatment of time and ultrasonic power was given are different". "The cooking loss parameter is affected by factors such as meat quality, pH and water holding capacity, and the cooking loss value decreases with increasing water holding capacity" (Aaslyng et al. 2002); [6].

3.2 pH

Table 1 showed that the result of the pH test on culled layer chicken meat had no significant effect (P>0.05) ranging from 6.21 to 6.40. The highest average pH value was 6.40 at P5 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 30 minutes) then the lowest average was 6.21 at P1 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 10 minutes). "Variations in pH value are influenced by muscle glycogen reserves, ultimate meat pH, stress before slaughter, distribution of certain hormones and medicine, individual livestock, muscle type, electrical stimulation, and enzyme activity" [9]. In a study of "the effect of high frequency ultrasonic on physical properties of muscles meat generally pH increased with increasing ageing time and ultrasonic treatment" [10].

3.3 EC

Table 1 showed that the result of the EC test on culled layer chicken meat had no significant effect (P>0.05) ranging from 0.61 to 0.76. The highest average EC value was 0.76 at P3 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 20 minutes) then the lowest average was 0.61 at P1 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 10 minutes). Electrical conductivity (EC) is a measurement of the total ion concentration in the solution (Hershey and Sand, 1993); [11]. "The radicals and ions induced by ultrasonic radiation contributed to the increase of EC in food during sonication" (Castellanos et al. 2001); [12].

Table 1. Effect ultrasonic treatment on cooking loss, pH, EC, tenderness and color

Physical properties	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Cooking loss (%)	2.24±0.72 ^a	2.87±0.69 ^{ab}	3.06±0.71 ^{ab}	3.26±0.80 ^{ab}	4.07±0.67 ^b
рН	6.21±0.21	6.23±0.15	6.32±0.16	6.32±0.18	6.40±0.36
EC (m/s)	0.61±0.09	0.69±0.06	0.76±0.11	0.75±0.11	0.69±0.05
Tenderness (N)	10.8±0.71 ^ª	9.075±0.86 ^b	9±0.89 ^c	7.65±0.51 [°]	6.9±0.08 ^d
L*	51.62±3,83	50.92±2.53	49.49±3.69	51.13±4.50	45.79±2.39
a*	6.54±1,25	6.48±0.94	6.45±0.45	6.34±0.62	6.30±0.35
b*	12.56±1.66	12.65±0.51	12.67±3.61	12.76±0.88	13.19±1.15

3.4 Tenderness

Table 1 showed that the result of the tenderness test on culled layer chicken meat had a very significant effect (P<0.05) ranging from 6.9 to 10.8. The highest average tenderness value was 10.8 at P1 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 10 minutes) then the lowest average was 6.9 at P5 (with ultrasonic treatment time of 30 minutes). High-power ultrasonic has been shown to effectively increase the tenderness of meat by causing disruption of the muscle integrity and modifying the structure of collagen [8]. "High-intensity ultrasonic radiation coupled with enzyme treatment improves meat tenderness by causing disruption in muscle integrity but also its proteolytic activity" [13].

3.5 Color

Table 1 showed that the result of the color test on culled layer chicken meat had no significant effect (P>0.05) ranging from L*45.79 to 51.62 a* 6.30 to 6.54 b* 12.56 to 13.19. The current results were partly in agreement with the reports of Jayasooriya, et al. [10] that ultrasonic treatment had no significant effect on any of the color parameters L*a*b*. "A rise in temperature with ultrasonic treatment assisted with tenderisation, the heat generated may have been insufficient for thermal denaturation and oxidation colour pigment myoglobin of the into metmyoglobin" (Martens et al. 1982); [10]. It was observed that High-Intensity Ultrasound alone does not have a noticeable effect on the color variables [14]. "High-power ultrasonic can lead to a rise in temperature of muscle, and heated muscle has been found to be lighter and less red in color than fresh muscle, because of thermal denaturation of myoglobin and hemoglobin color pigments" (Pohlman et al. 1997); [15]. Denaturation of myoglobin reduces the red color of meat (King & Whyte, 2006); [6].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results showed that ultrasonic treatment with a different time in culled layer chicken meat had no significant effect (P>0.05) on the color L*a*b* EC, but could have pH, significant effect on the cooking loss and a very significant effect on the tenderness. Itrasonic treatment can effectively improve meat quality by mechanically disrupting the myofibrillar protein structure. resulting in faster proteolysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported in part by Dr. Agus Susilo, S.Pt., MP., IPM., ASEAN Eng and Dr. Khotibul Umam Al Awwaly, S.Pt., M.Si. as a Lecturer in Faculty of Animal Science, Brawijaya University.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jayasooriya SD, Bhandari BR, Torley P, D'arcy BR. Effect of high power ultrasound waves on properties of meat: a review. International Journal of Food Properties. 2004;7(2):301-319.
- 2. Patist A, Bates D. Ultrasonic innovations in the food industry: From the laboratory to commercial production. Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies. 2008;9(2):147-154.
- 3. Turantaş F, Kılıç GB, Kılıç B. Ultrasound in the meat industry: General applications and decontamination efficiency. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2015;198:59-69.
- 4. Rosyidi D, Susilo A, Wiretno I. The effect of breeds on physical and chemical quality of meat. Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Hasil Ternak (JITEK). 2010;5(1):11-17.
- 5. Dong ZY, Li MY, Tian G, Zhang TH, Ren H, Quek SY, et al. Effects of ultrasonic pretreatment on the structure and functionality of chicken bone protein prepared by enzymatic method. Food Chem. 2019;299.
- 6. Mehrabani A, Javan AJ, Hesarinejad MA, Mahdavi A, Parsaeimehr M. The combined effect of ultrasound treatment and leek (*Allium ampeloprasum*) extract on the quality properties of beef. Food Bioscience. 2022;47:101622.
- Christensen L, Gunvig A, Tørngren MA, Aaslyng MD, Knøchel S, Christensen M. Sensory characteristics of meat cooked for prolonged times at low temperature. Meat Science. 2012;90(2):485-489.
- Alarcon-Rojo AD, Janacua H, Rodriguez JC, Paniwnyk L, Mason TJ. Power ultrasound in meat processing. Meat Science. 2015;107:86-93.
- 9. Sari SH, Septinova D, Santosa PE. Pengaruh Lama Perendaman dengan

Larutan Daun salam (*Syzygium polyanthum*) sebagai pengawet terhadap sifat fisik daging broiler. Jurnal Riset dan Inovasi Peternakan. Journal of Research and Innovation of Animals). 2017;1(3): 10-15.

- Jayasooriya SD, Torley PJ, D'arcy BR, Bhandari BR. Effect of high power ultrasound and ageing on the physical properties of bovine Semitendinosus and Longissimus muscles. Meat Science. 2007;75(4):628-639.
- 11. Kaewthong Ρ. Wattanachant S Optimizing the electrical conductivity of marinade solution for waterbroiler holding capacity of breast meat. Poultry Science. 2018;97(2): 701-708.
- 12. Zhang QA, Shen Y, Fan XH, Yan YY, García Martín JF. Online monitoring of electrical conductivity of wine induced by ultrasound. CyTA-Journal of Food. 2016; 14(3):496-501.

- 13. Barekat S, Soltanizadeh N. Improvement of meat tenderness by simultaneous application of high-intensity ultrasonic radiation and papain treatment. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies. 2017;39:223-229.
- 14. Caraveo-Suarez RO, Garcia-Galicia IA, Santellano-Estrada E, Carrillo-Lopez LM, Huerta-Jimenez M, Alarcon-Rojo AD et al. Integrated multivariate analysis as a tool to evaluate effects of ultrasound on beef quality. J. Food Process Eng. 2022; e14112.
 - DOI: 10.1111/jfpe.14112
- Chang HJ, Xu XL, Zhou GH, Li CB, Huang M. Effects of characteristics changes of collagen on meat physicochemical properties of beef semitendinosus muscle during ultrasonic processing. Food and Bioprocess Technology. 2012;5(1): 285-297.

© 2023 Khoiriyah et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104526