

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 5, Page 519-524, 2024; Article no.JABB.113964 ISSN: 2394-1081

Studies on the Efficacy of Nutrients and Biostimulants on Flowering and Yield Attributes in Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Bidhan Rajini – 1

J. Archana ^{a*}, A. Girwani ^b, D. Vishnu Vardhan Reddy ^c and C.H. Raja Goud ^d

^a Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India.

^b Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Mulugu, India.

^c Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India. ^d Department of Entomology, Horticulture Research Station, Konda Mallepally, Nalgonda (Telangana), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JABB/2024/v27i5814

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113964

> Received: 04/01/2024 Accepted: 08/03/2024 Published: 18/04/2024

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried to study the efficacy of nutrients and biostimulants on flowering and yield attributes in tuberose cv. Bidhan Rajini-1. The experiment consisted of four biostimulants *viz.*, Humic acid, Fulvic acid, Potassium humate, Arka Microbial Consortium in

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: archana.rayeni@gmail.com;

J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 519-524, 2024

combination with nutrients. Further regarding the flower yield contributing parameters, the application of 75% RDF along with Humic acid-12% (3ml/l) resulted in maximum number of spikes per clump (3.30), number of florets per spike (66.0), weight of individual florets (2.56gm) and 100 flowers weight (256.6gm), loose flower yield plot⁻¹ (17.67kgs) and flower yield ha-1(44 t) followed by 100% RDF (200kg each of NPK).

Keywords: Biostimulants; humic acid; tuberose; RDF; arka microbial consortium; flowers weight; subtropical regions; inorganic fertilizer.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa) is considered as one of the most popular flowering plants of tropical and sub-tropical regions belongs to the family Amaryllidaceous, a native of Mexico, produces attractive, elegant and fragrant white flowers" [1]. "Today, it is widely cultivated in India, Bangladesh, France, Italy, South Africa, the United States, and many tropical and subtropical regions" [2]. "Among the ornamental bulbous plants which are valued much for their aesthetic, beauty and fragrance of flowers, the tuberose occupies a very selective and special position for flower loving people, because of their and pleasant prettiness. elegance sweet fragrance. While individual florets, on the other hand, are used to make veins, wreaths, buttonholes, or crowns. It has a great potential for cut flower trade and essential oil industry" [3]. "The natural flower oil of tuberose is one of the most expensive perfume ingredients" [4]. It is among a few flowers, which has got good export potential.

"Tuberose is a gross feeder and requires a large quantity of NPK, both in the form of organic and inorganic fertilizers" [5]. "Fertilizers have great influence on growth, building and flower production in tuberose" [6]. "Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium have a significant effect on spike production and floret quality" [7].

"A plant biostimulant is any substance or microorganism applied to plants with the aim to enhance nutrition efficiency, abiotic stress tolerance and/or crop quality traits, regardless of its nutrients content. Bio stimulants have been interest in sustainable aainina agriculture because their application activates several physiological processes that enhance nutrient use efficiency, stimulating plant development" [8]. "Bio stimulants are extracts obtained from organic raw materials containing bioactive compounds. The most common components of the bio stimulants are mineral elements, humic

substances (HSs), vitamins, amino acids, chitin, chitosan, and poly and oligosaccharides" [9,10,11].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Floricultural Research Station, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad on the efficacy of nutrients and biostimulants on flowering and yield attributes in tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) cv. Bidhan Rajini-1. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with nine treatments replicated thrice. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam. "Organic manures like farm yard manure (FYM), and vermicompost were applied as soil application through basal dressing as per the treatment schedule. The recommended dose of fertilizers viz., NPK 30:20:30 gm⁻² was applied as per the treatment. For the plots with 75% RDF the fertilizers were applied at the rate of 101.83g N, 375g P, 120g K uniformly for individual plots, and for the plots with 50% RDF the fertilizers were applied at the rate of 58.35g N, 250g P, 80g K for individual plots. Prophylactic plant protection measures were adopted as and when required for control of common pests and diseases during investigation period" [12].

"Foliar spray of bio stimulants is done at monthly intervals. Humic acid and fulvic acid were sprayed at 3ml/lit of water and it is prepared by adding 3ml of bio stimulants in one liter of water, whereas potassium humate @ 2g/lit of water, prepared by adding 2gm of in one liter of water and Arka microbial consortium (AMC) @ 2ml/lit of water is applied, prepared by adding 2ml of AMC in one lit of water" [12]. After the treatment application biometric evaluation of flowering and yield parameters are done.

2.1 Length of rachis (cm): The rachis length was measured from point of emergence of the first flower in the spike to the tip of the spike and the mean was expressed in cm.

2.2 Days to complete opening of the florets in a spike (days): It is measured by the counting the days taken from the date of opening of first pair of florets in spike to the opening of last pair of florets in the individual spike and expressed in days.

2.3 Number of spikes per clump: The total number of spikes harvested during the period of observation was counted in each clump at every harvest and the mean was expressed in numbers.

2.4 Number of florets per spike: The number of florets produced per spike in each plant was counted and the mean was expressed in numbers.

2.5 Individual flower weight (gm): The weight of the individual floret was taken in a fully developed floret from five randomly selected spikes in each replication and the mean was expressed in grams.

2.6 Weight of 100 flowers (gm): Weight was measured from randomly selected hundred florets in each replication in each treatment and the mean was expressed in grams.

2.7 Loose flower yield per plot (kg): The flowers were harvested at bud stage (pin hole stage) and the flowers picked from individual pickings per plot were summed in each of the experimental plot and expressed in kg.

2.8 Loose flower yield per hectare (t/ha): The estimated yield per hectare was arrived as described below and expressed in tonnes.

Estimated yield (t) = $\frac{Yield \ per \ plot \ (kg) \ X \ 10000 \ (m^2)}{Plot \ size \ (m^2)}$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Flower Characters

Nutrients and biostimulants have significant impact on flowering in tuberose, with respect to length of rachis there was a significant increase among the treatments by application of nutrients and biostimulants. The application of 75% RDF + Humic acid (3ml/l) resulted in maximum rachis length (34.63 cm) which was followed by 100% RDF (32.50 cm). The increase in rachis length could also be due to the slow release of nutrients from soil resulting in greater up take of nutrients, which might have exerted greater rachis length. This was in line with the findings of Sankari et al., [13], Pradeep et al., [14]. in Gladiolus.

And there was significant effect of treatments on the days to complete opening of the florets in a spike (days). Maximum days (26.56 days) was recorded in the treatment T4- 75% RDF + Arka Microbial consortium (AMC) followed by treatment 75% RDF + Humic acid (24.13 days). The improvement in flowering on spike might be due to the fact that the combined application of Arka Microbial consortium (AMC) along with nutrients might have improved the soil health and flower quality as revealed by Pansuriya et al., [15] in gladiolus.

whereas there was a significant increase in the number of spikes per clump by the application of 75% RDF + Humic acid 12% (3ml/l) resulted in maximum number of spikes per plant (3.30) which was followed by the treatment 100% RDF (3.00). Humic acid might have increased the carbohydrate accumulation which in turn would have hastened the spike emergence & produce quality flowers as reported by Vaughan et al., [16].

The number of florets per spikes was maximum (66.0) in the treatment T4 -75% RDF + Humic acid (3ml/l), followed by 100% RDF (64.0). It might be due to presence of growth promoting substances like essential plant nutrients, vitamins, enzymes and antibiotics in Farmyard manure and Humic acid with active phenolic group might have inhibited oxidase activity and promoted the prolonged persistence of IAA in plants that might have contributed to the increased florets per spike in conformity with the findings of Sankari et al., [13] and Pradeep et al. [14].

3.2 Yield Characters

The individual floret weight (g) was recorded maximum (2.56gm) by the plants by the application of 75% RDF + Humic acid 12% (3ml/l) which was followed by 100% RDF (2.43 gm). Increase in flower weight could be due to the increased photosynthetic activity by the application of nutrients and humic acid which, in turn, might have favoured an increased accumulation of dry matter and also efficient partitioning of photosynthates towards the sink. Results are in line with the findings of Kabariel et al., [17] in marigold.

There was a significant effect on weight of 100 flower (gm). Maximum weight of flower

(256.6gm) was observed in the plants subjected to 75% RDF + Humic acid 12% (3ml/l) followed by plants treated with 100% RDF (243.3gm). The increase in weight of flowers of tuberose may be due to supply of balance amount of nutrients to the plant so that the growing plants are supplied with food, and application of humic substance increases in water holding capacity of soil and increase quality of flower. The results are in confiding with the Harshavardhan et al., [18] in marigold.

Maximum flower yield per plot (17.67 kgs) was recorded by the application of 75% RDF + Humic acid 12% (3ml/l) which was followed by 100% RDF (16.03 kgs). The application of nutrients and biostimulants might have formed a sink in a position where it accumulates and draws the available photosynthates to the site which ultimately increased the flower yield per plot as reported by the findings of Palanisamy et al., [19] in gerbera and Sankari et al., [13] in gladiolus.

Maximum flower vield per hectare (44.01 t ha-1) was obtained by the application of 75% RDF + Humic acid 12% (3ml/l) which was followed by 100% RDF (42.42 t ha-1). The maximum flower yield per hectare is due to the available nutrients and by the action of humic substances has increased the production of more number of spikes per clump, more number of florets on spike, larger sized flowers per plant and thus ultimatelv increased the vield. These investigations are supported by the findings of Shrikanth and Jawaharlal [20], Palanisamy et al., [19] in gerbera and Sankari et al., [13] in gladiolus.

Table 1. Effect of nutrients and biostimulants on flow	ering characters of tuberose
--------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------

	Treatment	Length of rachies (cm)	Days to complete opening of the florets in a spike (days)	Number of spikes per clump	Number of florets per spike
T ₁	100% RDF (200kg NPK + FYM 25	32.50	22.86	2.93	64.0
	tonnes per hectare)				
T ₂	75% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l	30.33	22.60	3.00	63.50
Тз	50% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l	27.90	19.33	2.53	62.21
T_4	75% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l	34.63	24.13	3.30	66.01
T ₅	50% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l	29.26	20.60	2.83	63.21
T_6	75% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @	28.00	21.70	2.70	61.94
_	2gm/l				
17	50% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 2gm/l	25.50	19.06	2.50	61.63
T ₈	75% RDF + Arka microbial consortium	30.06	26.56	2.80	62.62
T9	50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium	27.83	21.80	2.66	62.10
	S.Em ±	0.759	0.691	0.099	0.394
	C.D. at 5%	2.294	2.087	0.299	1.190

lable 2.	Effect of	ⁱ nutrients a	and biostii	nulants on	Yield	characters	of tubero	ose
----------	-----------	--------------------------	-------------	------------	-------	------------	-----------	-----

Treatment	Weight of individual flowers	Weight of 100 flowers	Loose flower yield per plot (kg)	Loose flower yield per hectare (t/ha)
100% RDF (200kg NPK + FYM 25	2.43	243.3	16.03	42.42
tonnes per hectare)				
75% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l	2.30	230.02	15.40	38.46
50% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l	2.06	206.6	12.85	32.74
75% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l	2.56	256.6	17.67	44.01
50% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l	2.26	226.6	14.54	36.09
75% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @	2.20	220.0	15.04	37.48
2gm/l				
50% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @	1.90	190.0	11.08	27.75
2gm/l				
75% RDF + Arka microbial consortium	2.28	228.3	14.92	37.86
50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium	1.96	196.6	12.72	31.85
S.Em ±	0.040	4.091	0.229	0.608
C.D. at 5%	0.123	12.35	0.691	1.837
	Treatment 100% RDF (200kg NPK + FYM 25 tonnes per hectare) 75% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l 50% RDF + Fulvic acid 12%@ 3ml/l 75% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l 50% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 2gm/l 50% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 2gm/l 75% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium S.Em ± C.D. at 5%	Treatment Weight of individual flowers 100% RDF (200kg NPK + FYM 25 tonnes per hectare) 2.43 75% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l 2.30 50% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l 2.06 75% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l 2.56 50% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l 2.26 75% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 2.20 2gm/l 50% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 1.90 2gm/l 50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 2.28 50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 1.96 5.Em ± 0.040 0.123 0.123	Treatment Weight of individual flowers Weight of 100 flowers 100% RDF (200kg NPK + FYM 25 tonnes per hectare) 2.43 243.3 75% RDF + Fulvic acid 10% @ 3ml/l 2.30 230.02 50% RDF + Fulvic acid 10% @ 3ml/l 2.06 206.6 75% RDF + Humic acid 12% @ 3ml/l 2.56 256.6 50% RDF + Humic acid 12% @ 3ml/l 2.26 226.6 75% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 2.20 220.0 2gm/l 75% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 1.90 190.0 2gm/l 75% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 2.28 228.3 50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 1.96 196.6 S.Em ± 0.040 4.091 C.D. at 5% 0.123 12.35	Treatment Weight of individual flowers Weight of 100 flowers Loose flower yield per plot (kg) 100% RDF (200kg NPK + FYM 25 tonnes per hectare) 2.43 243.3 16.03 75% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l 2.30 230.02 15.40 50% RDF + Fulvic acid 10%@ 3ml/l 2.06 206.6 12.85 75% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l 2.26 226.6 14.54 75% RDF + Humic acid 12%@ 3ml/l 2.20 220.0 15.04 2gm/l 2.20 220.0 15.04 2gm/l 75% RDF + Potassium humate 95% @ 1.90 190.0 11.08 2gm/l 75% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 2.28 228.3 14.92 50% RDF + Arka microbial consortium 1.96 196.6 12.72 S.Em ± 0.040 4.091 0.229 C.D. at 5% 0.123 12.35 0.691

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the present investigation, it is concluded that application of 75% RDF along with Humic acid-12% (3ml/l) resulted in significant increase in flowering and yield attributes in tuberose due to better uptake and utilization of nutrients as well as better translocation of photosynthates as influenced by synergistic effects of nutrients and humic acid. So the application of 75% RDF along with Humic acid-12% (3ml/l) can be recommended to farmers for better yield.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All the authors are thankful and grateful to our college Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticulture University for providing all the resources for conducting experiment and providing timely help.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Amin MR, Pervin N, Nusrat A, Mehraj H, Jamal Uddin AFM. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research. 2017;12:1016-1020. DOI:org/10.18801/jbar.120117. 123.
- Mazed HEMK, Pulok AI, Rahman H, Monalesa N, Partho SG. Growth and yield of tuberose as influenced by different levels of manures and fertilizers. Int. J. Multidisci. Res. Dev. 2015;2:555-558.
- Sadhu MK, Bose TK. Tuberose for most artistic garlands. Indian Hort. 1973;18:17-21.
- Singh RK, Vinod K, Kushwah VS. Effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and post-harvest losses of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009; 79:684-686.
- Amarjeet S, Godara NR. Effect of nutritional requirement of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L) cv. Single on flower yield characters, Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res. 1998;28(1):15-20.
- 6. Polara ND, Dhola SN, Khimani RA, Delvadia DV, Viradia RR. Effect of different levels of inorganic fertilizers on flower

quality and nutrient content of tuberose, J. Current Bio. Sci. 2004;2:194-197.

- Singh SRP, Kumar D, Singh VK. Effect of NPK combinations on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberose* L.) cv. Double, Muzaffamagar, India, Plant Archives. 2004;4(2):515-517.
- 8. Kunicki E, Grabowska A, Sekara A, Wojciechowska R. The effect of cultivar type, time of cultivation, and biostimulant treatment on the yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). Folia Hortic. 2010;22:9-13.
- 9. Berlyn GP, Russo RO. The use of organic biostimulants to promote root growth. Belowground Ecol. 1990;2:12-13.
- 10. Hamza B, Suggars A. Biostimulants: Myths and realities. Turfgrass Trends. 2001;10:6-10.
- 11. Kauffman GL, Kneive DP, Watschke TL. Effects of a biostimulant on the heat tolerance associated with photosynthetic capacity, membrane thermostability, and polyphenol production of perennial ryegrass. Crop Sci. 2007;47:261-267.
- Archana J, Girwani A, vardhan Reddy DV, Raja CH. Effect of nutrients and biostimulants on growth and flowering of loose flowers of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Bidhan Rajini-1. IJCS. 2019;7(4):3169-72.
- Sankari, A, Anand M, Arulmozhiyan R. Effect of biostimulants on yield and post harvest quality of *Gladiolus* cv. White Prosperity. The Asian J. Hort. 2015;10: 86-94.
- 14. Pradeep K, Manivannan K, Ramesh KS. Effect of organic nutrients on growth, flowering and yield of *Gladiolus grandiflorus* L. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2014;9:416-420.
- Pansuriya PB, Varu DK, Viradia RR. Effect of biostimulants and biofertilizers on growth, flowering and quality of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) Cv. American beauty under greenhouse conditions. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(2):2191-2196.
- Vaughan D, Malcolm RE, Ord BG. Influence of humic substances on biochemical processes in plants. In: Soil organic matter and biological activity. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht. 1985; 77-108.
- 17. Kabariel J, Subramanian S, Kumar M. Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) Hybrid I3 grown as an intercrop

in Grand Naine banana. I.J.S.N. 2016; 7(2):291-295.

- Harshavardhan. Studies on the effect of foliar spray of bio stimulants on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. M.Sc. thesis submitted to S.K.L.T.S.H.U Horticulture university, Rajendranagar; 2017.
- 19. Palanisamy, Kannan D, Sharma R, Bhatt SS, Singh A. Fertigation studies on

gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* bolus Ex Hooker F.) for growth and yield under cover in Southern hills (shevaroy). International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2015;33:31-36.

 Shrikant M, Jawaharlal M. Effect of fertigation level and biostimulants on yield parameters of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus ex Hooker F.) var. Debora under poly house conditions. Trends in Biosciences. 2014;7:1157-1161.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113964