

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 9, Page 2099-2104, 2023; Article no.IJECC.102545 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Effect of Soil and Foliar Application of Zinc and Iron on Yield, Nutrient Content and Quality of Summer Mungbean

S. Barla^a, H. K. Sahoo^a and B. P. Patra^{a*}

^a Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors conceived and designed the analysis. All authors collected the data, contributed data or analysis tools, performed the analysis and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92442

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102545

Original Research Article

Received: 11/05/2023 Accepted: 13/07/2023 Published: 26/07/2023

ABSTRACT

The culinary value of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) makes it one of the most valuable pulses. The enhanced and inorganic farming method of India has been able to attract special attention from scientists and growers. The crucial aspect in pulse productivity is the use of the proper micronutrients in the appropriate proportions. Therefore, the field experiment was carried out at RRTTSS, Kirei, Sundargarh during summer season 2021. Experiment consists of eight treatments replicated thrice with RBD. The results revealed that seed yield (521 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (1307 kg ha⁻¹), gross returns (Rs. 36470/ha), net returns (Rs. 8020/ha) and benefit cost ratio (1.28) and nutrient content N (3.86% & 1.25% in seed and stover), P (0.45% & 0.19% in seed & stover), K (0.65% & 1.88% in seed and stover) were recorded significantly highest under the treatment of 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray at flower initiation stage along with RDF and FYM. Highest Zn content (51.01 ppm) in seed and (17.43 ppm) in stover

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: bishnupriya11patra@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2099-2104, 2023

was obtained in T₃- T₁+ 0.5% ZnSO₄ FI & PI stage. The maximum iron content in seed (117.50 ppm) as well as in stover (84.97 ppm) was observed in T₅ - T₁+ 0.5% FeSO₄ at FI & PI stage.

Keywords: Zinc; iron; foliar application; mungbean; yield and nutrient content.

1. INTRODUCTION

pulse-growing country, India is a major accounting for around one-third of total global pulse cultivation area and one-fourth of total global production. Pulses are an important part of the Indian diet, providing roughly 30% of daily protein. Mungbean (Vigna radiata L.) is the most important crop of the South-East Asia and particularly the Indian sub-continent. It is also known as green gram, golden gram and chop suey bean. Mungbeans are commonly grown for human use (as fresh sprouts or dried beans), but they can also be used as a green manure crop and cattle forage. It is a popular pulse crop with excellent nutritional value. Its grain contains 24.2% protein, 60.4% carbohydrate and 1.3% fat. It is a short-season crop that can be cultivated three times per year *i.e* in kharif, rabi and summer seasons. It also helps to maintain and improve soil fertility by allowing root nodules to fix atmospheric nitrogen in the soil.

Micronutrients are essential for plant growth and crop sustainability. Zinc and iron are important micronutrients that aid in the production of auxin, regulate starch and chlorophyll formation, root development, cytochrome biosynthesis, and leaf cuticle synthesis, respectively. Iron regulates the function of chlorophyll development and energy transfer within the plant system, as well as the activation of several enzymes required for plant formation. The objective of the study was to see how different nutrient management practices of micronutrients (Zn and Fe) affected yield qualities and mungbean yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during summer of 2021 on sandy loam soil at the field of Regional Research and Technology Transfer Sub Station (RRTTSS), Kirei, Sundargarh, Odisha to investigate the influence of zinc and iron on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of mungbean. The eight treatments combinations including T₁- control (RDF: 20-40-20 kg/ha and FYM 5 tha⁻¹), T₂ - T₁+ 0.5% ZnSO₄ FI, T₃ - T₁+ 0.5% ZnSO₄ FI & PI, T₄ - T₁+ 0.5% FeSO₄ FI, T₅

- T_1 + 0.5% FeSO₄ FI & PI, T_6 - T_1 + 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ FI, T_7 - T_1 + 25 kgha⁻¹ ZnSO₄ soil application, T_8 - T_1 + 50 kgha⁻¹ FeSO₄ soil application. "All the eight treatments combinations were replicated thrice in randomized block design (RBD). The soil in the experimental field was acidic in nature (pH 5.7), had low available nitrogen (145.41 kg ha⁻¹), medium available phosphorus (35.13 kg ha⁻¹), low available potassium (71.68 kg ha⁻¹), and low zinc and iron concentration (0.53 and 4.23 ppm, respectively)" [1].

"Mungbean, IPM 02-14 variety was sown on 9th February, 2021 in furrows at a spacing of 30×10 cm. Before sowing, seed @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ was treated with Vitavax power @ 2 g kg⁻¹ of seed. Five plants were chosen at random from each plot to record individual plant nutrient content in the leaves, shoot, root, and seed using various analyses. Standard procedures were used to conduct the soil analysis. At flower initiation and pod initiation, $ZnSO_4$ and $FeSO_4$ were applied as a 0.5% foliar treatment at both stages" [1]. At 20- and 35-days following seeding, hand weeding and hoeing were performed. Irrigation was started before the land was prepared, and it was repeated every 15 days after that. At the right time, important observations were recorded.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield: "Seed yield (521 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (1307 kg ha⁻¹) was found to be higher with application of 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ at flower initiation stage, that might be due to significant effect on yield attributing characters like number of pods bearing branches per plant, pods per plant and 1000-seeds weight. Similarly higher yield attributes and yield were noticed with the combined foliar spray of micro nutrients with zinc attributed to optimum availability of nutrients for luxurious crop growth and efficient partitioning of assimilates from source to sink. Higher stover yield of mungbean might be due to direct influence of zinc on auxin production which in turn enhanced the elongation processes of plant development" [2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9].

| Treatments | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Stover yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha) | Gross return (Rs./ha) | Net return (Rs./ha) | B:C |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| T ₁ - Control (RDF: 20-40-20 | 402.3 | 1074.7 | 27595 | 28140 | 545 | 1.02 |
| kg ha ⁻¹ and FYM 5 t ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| T ₂ - T ₁ + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ FI | 502.3 | 1274.7 | 28200 | 35140 | 6940 | 1.25 |
| T ₃ - T ₁ + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ FI & PI | 511.3 | 1296.0 | 28505 | 35770 | 7265 | 1.25 |
| T ₄ - T ₁ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI | 456.0 | 1178.7 | 28145 | 31920 | 3775 | 1.13 |
| T ₅ - T ₁ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI & PI | 450.3 | 1169.0 | 28445 | 31500 | 3055 | 1.11 |
| T ₆ - T₁+ 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ FI | 521.0 | 1307.0 | 28450 | 36470 | 8020 | 1.28 |
| $T_7 - T_1 + 25 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ ZnSO}_4 \text{ soil}$ application | 446.7 | 1195.0 | 29645 | 31290 | 1645 | 1.06 |
| $T_8 - T_1 + 50$ kg ha ⁻¹ FeSO ₄ soil application | 439.0 | 1202.7 | 30095 | 30730 | 635 | 1.02 |
| SE (m)± | 9.63 | 12.20 | 8.12 | 623.80 | 618.03 | 0.02 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 28.60 | 36.25 | 24.88 | 1910.44 | 1892.78 | 0.06 |

Table 1. Effect of application of zinc and iron on yield and economics of summer mungbean

Similar results higher yield and quality on maize crop was given by Satdev et al., [10], and Peddapuli et al. [11] in maize. Zinc application also might have increased the enzymatic activity which might have supported the translocation of assimilates towards the sink efficiently thereby resulting in increased yield. Similar results were reported by Broadly et al. [12] and Swargiary et al. [13].

Economics: The highest cost of cultivation (Rs.30095/ha) was observed in 50 kg ha⁻¹ FeSO₄ soil application. This was because of higher quantity of applied FeSO₄ as basal compared to foliar feeding treatments. Gross returns (Rs. 36470/ha) and net returns (Rs.8020/ha) and benefit cost ratio (1.28) was recorded highest under treatment 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ at flower initiation stage. Foliar application of 0.5% ZnSO₄ at flower initiation and 0.5% ZnSO₄ at flower initiation and pod initiation earned more net returns by Rs.7265/ha and Rs.6940/ha than control, however, all foliar fertilized treatment exhibited greater net returns over control. This increase in net return was due to significantly higher value of seed and stover vield as compared to cost of cultivation of corresponding treatment. The results were supported by Soni and Kushwaha [6], Sharma, A. et al. [14] and Sajid, M. et al. [15].

Nutrient content: Soil and foliar application of $ZnSO_4$ and $FeSO_4$ significantly increased the N, P, K, Zn content by mungbean seed & stover. The highest N, P and K content was found with application of 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ at

flower initiation stage N (3.86% & 1.25% in seed and stover), P (0.45% & 0.19% in seed & stover), K (0.65% & 1.88% in seed and stover). This might be due to increasing the cation exchange capacity of roots led to more absorption of nutrients from soil and further more translocation to different vegetative and reproductive parts which ultimately led to higher content in the seeds as well as in the stover of the mungbean. Similar results were also reported with soil application of zinc in fenugreek by Sammuria, R. [16] and Saini, R.K. [17] in mothbean. Soil application of zinc fertilizer resulted in higher zinc enrichment in mungbean grains were also reported by Haider, M.U. et al. [18] and Kudi, S. et al. [19].

Maximum Zn content (51.01 ppm) in seed and (17.43 ppm) in stover was obtained in application of 0.5% ZnSO₄ FI & PI stage. The more absorption of zinc and iron through foliar nutrition fulfill the unmet requirement of these nutrients from source to sink and thereby more content and uptake of these nutrients. These results are in line with those reported by Singh, V. et al. [20], Tak, S. et al. [21] and Solanki, D. et al. [22] in mungbean.

Maximum iron content value in seed (117.50 ppm) as well as in stover (84.97 ppm) was observed in $T_5 - T_1 + 0.5\%$ FeSO₄ at FI & PI stage. "Such increment in content of iron in seed and stover with the application of iron sulphate might be due to more availability in rhizosphere and absorption of iron resulting from application of these micronutrients. The increment in the zinc

and iron content with the soil application of iron sulphate + zinc sulphate was noted" by Bhamare et al. [23]. "The significant improvement in concentration of these nutrients coupled with seed and straw yield, increased the uptake of N, P, Zn and Fe significantly. Increased concentration of N, P, Zn and Fe with the application of iron fertilizer has also been reported by several researchers" Kumawat et al. [4].

Quality: The maximum crude protein content in mungbean seed was recorded in 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ flower initiation stage (24.10%). Zn is an important component of lots of enzymes

and plays an important role in metabolism of nitrogen, synthesizing proteins, nucleic acids and precursor of auxin. Effect of foliar application of zinc showed increased protein enhanced content due to physiological characteristics and protease enzyme activity Nandan et al. [24], Usman et al. [25] and Lokhande et al. [26]. Imsande [27] stated that iron had an important role in the synthesis of chlorophyll and also helpsin the absorption of other nutrients. It is an important constitutes of chlorophyll and it regulates respiration, photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation, reduction of nitrates and sulphates and finally improves protein content of mungbean.

| Table 2. Effect of application of zinc and iron on nu | Itrient (N, P and K) content of mungbean |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| Treatments | Nitrogen Content (%) | | Phosphorus content (%) | | Potassium content (%) | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover |
| T_1 - Control (RDF: 20-40-20 kg ha ⁻¹ and FYM 5 t ha ⁻¹) | 3.58 | 0.81 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.55 | 1.57 |
| T ₂ - T ₁ + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ FI | 3.81 | 1.17 | 0.38 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 1.84 |
| T ₃ - T ₁ + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ FI & PI | 3.84 | 1.19 | 0.43 | 0.18 | 0.63 | 1.86 |
| T ₄ - T ₁ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI | 3.64 | 0.95 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.56 | 1.70 |
| T₅ - T₁+ 0.5% FeSO₄ FI & PI | 3.72 | 1.01 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.60 | 1.74 |
| T_6 - T_1 + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ and 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI | 3.86 | 1.25 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 0.65 | 1.88 |
| $T_7 - T_1 + 25$ kg ha ⁻¹ ZnSO ₄ soil application | 3.68 | 0.91 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.58 | 1.69 |
| $T_8 - T_1 + 50$ kg ha ⁻¹ FeSO ₄ soil application | 3.61 | 0.87 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.57 | 1.66 |
| SE (m)± | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.006 | 0.05 | 0.03 |

Table 3. Effect of application of zinc and iron on nutrient (Zn and Fe) and crude protein content of mungbean

| Treatments | Zinc content (ppm) | | Iron content (ppm) | | Crude protein content | |
|---|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | |
| T_1 - Control (RDF: 20-40-20 kg ha ⁻¹ and FYM 5 t ha ⁻¹) | 31.29 | 14.47 | 78.90 | 68.62 | 22.38 | |
| T ₂ - T ₁ + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ FI | 49.24 | 16.92 | 79.23 | 71.39 | 23.83 | |
| T ₃ - T ₁ + 0.5% ZnSO ₄ FI & PI | 51.01 | 17.43 | 80.47 | 78.77 | 23.98 | |
| T ₄ - T ₁ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI | 42.47 | 16.29 | 104.37 | 80.69 | 22.77 | |
| T ₅ - T ₁ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI & PI | 42.63 | 16.58 | 117.50 | 84.97 | 23.27 | |
| $T_6 - T_1 + 0.5\%$ ZnSO ₄ and 0.5% FeSO ₄ FI | 50.81 | 17.05 | 103.80 | 83.00 | 24.10 | |
| $T_7 - T_1 + 25 \text{ kg ha}^1 \text{ZnSO}_4 \text{ soil}$ | 44.08 | 16.04 | 82.10 | 75.23 | 22.98 | |
| T_8^{-1} - T ₁ + 50 kg ha ⁻¹ FeSO ₄ soil application | 37.81 | 15.92 | 97.27 | 71.03 | 22.54 | |
| SE (m)± | 1.89 | 0.19 | 2.49 | 1.08 | 0.17 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | 5.61 | 0.55 | 7.40 | 3.20 | 0.50 | |

4. CONCLUSION

From the results of above experiment, it was concluded that foliar spray with 0.5% ZnSO₄ and 0.5% FeSO₄ at flower initiation stage along with RDF: 20-40-20 kg ha⁻¹ and FYM 5 t ha⁻¹ in summer mungbean produced maximum seed yield (521 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (1307 kg ha⁻¹) with maximum net return of Rs. 8020/ha and benefit cost ratio (1.28) because at this level we recorded maximum yield and of mungbean as compared to control. Foliar application of ZnSO₄ and FeSO₄ enhanced the Zn & Fe contents in mungbean grains, which significantly increased the seed quality. Future scope: 1) Study of more precise work on date of planting/sowing under different agroclimatic zones and 2) Foliar nutrition on other pulses should be taken.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere thanks to Prof. (Dr.) M. K. Sharma (major advisor) and to my advisory committee members for giving me proper guidance throughout the course of study. I also sincerely thank Jawaharlal Nehru Memorial Fund (MHRD, Gol) for supporting the research financially by awarding me Jawaharlal Nehru Fellowship.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Barla S, Sahoo HK, Patra BP. Productivity and nutrient uptake influenced by zinc and iron on summer mungbean. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022 Dec 31;34(24):990-5.
- Awlad HM, Chowdhury MA, Tahukde NM. Effect of sulphur and zinc on nodulation, dry matter, yield and nutrient content of soybean. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2003;6:461-466.
- 3. Teixeira IR, Boren AB, Araujo GAA. Manganese and zinc leaf application on common bean grown on a cerrado soil. Science of Agriculture. 2004;61:77-81.
- Kumawat RN, Rathore PS, Nathawat NS, Mahatma M. Effect of sulfur and iron on enzymatic activity and chlorophyll content of mungbean. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2006;29:1451-1467.
- 5. Meena KK, Meena RS, Kumawat SM. Effect of sulphur and iron fertilization on

yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013;83(4): 472–6.

- Soni J, Kushwaha HS. Effect of foliar spray of zinc and iron on productivity of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczeck], Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(1):108-111.
- Masih A, Dawson J, Singh RE. Effect of levels of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiological Applied Science. 2020; 9(10):3106-3112.
- Prasanna KL, Naidu SMM, Sumathi V, Nagamani C. Effect of nitrogen and zinc on growth, yield and economics of clusterbean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub]. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 2013;60(2):260-263.
- 9. Choudhary P, Jhajharia A, Kumar R. Influence of sulphur and zinc fertilization on yield, yield components and quality traits of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). The Bioscan. 2014;9(1):137-142.
- Satdev Zinzala VJ, Chavda BN, Saini LK. Effect of nano ZnO on growth and yield of sweetcorn under South Gujarat condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020-2023;8(1).
- Peddapuli M, Venkateswarlu B, Gowthami VSS. Impact of different sources of zinc on yield, yield components and nutrient uptake of sweetcorn. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2022;14(1): 1456-1461.
- 12. Broadly MR, White PJ, Hamno JP, Zelko I, Lux A. Zinc inplants. New Phytol. 2007; 173:677–702.
- Swargiary S, Umesha C, Dwivedi N. Influence of Spacing and Zinc Levels on Growth and Yield of Lentil (Lens culinaris). Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2021;13(3a):114-117.
- 14. Sharma A, Sharma RP, Katoch V, Sharma GD. Influence of vermicompost and split applied nitrogen on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in pole type frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in an acid alfisol. Legume Research. 2018; 41(1):126-131.
- Sajid M. Influence of zinc as soil and foliar application on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus*L.). International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Research. 2016;2(2)140-145.

- 16. Sammauria R. Response of fenugreek to phosphorus and zinc application and their residual effect on succeeding pearmillet under irrigated condition of north-west Rajasthan. Ph.D. Thesis, Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner; 2007.
- 17. Saini RK. Effect of zinc and iron on growth and yield of mothbean in arid western Rajasthan. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner; 2003.
- Haider MU, Hussain M, Farooq M, Nawaz A. Soil application of zinc improves the growth, yield and grain zinc biofertification of mungbean. Soil and Environment. 2018; 37(2):123-128.
- Kudi S, Swaroop N, David AA, Thomas T, Hasan A, Rao, S. Effect of different levels of sulphur and zinc on soil health and yield of greengram (*VignaradiataL.*) Var. Patidar-111. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(3):2271-2274.
- Singh V, Yadav RK, Yadav R, Malik RS, Yadav R, Singh J, Meena MD. Effect of different iron and zinc application on growth, yield and quality parameters of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). Annals of Agri- Bio Research. 2013;18(2):164-175.
- Tak S, Sharma SK, Reager ML. Effect of vermicompost and zinc on yield attributes, yield and quality of greengram in arid western Rajasthan. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;10(1):138-141.
- 22. Solanki D, Swetha P, Solanki MS. Effect of sulphur and zinc on content and uptake of

nutrients of summer greengram (vigna radiate L.wilezeck) under medium black calcareous soils. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2017; 7(4):657-662.

- Bhamare RS, Sawale DD, Jagtap PB, Tamboli BD, Kadam M. Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of French bean in iron and zinc deficient inceptisol soil. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(3):3397-3399.
- Nandan B, Sharma BC, Chand G, Bazgalia K, Kumar R, Banotra M. Agronomic fortification of zn and fe in chickpea an emerging tool for nutritional security - a global perspective. Acta Scientific Nutritional Health. 2018;2(4):12-19.
- Usman M, Tahir M, Majeed MA. Effect of zinc sulphate as soil application and seed treatment on greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences. 2014;12(2):87-91.
- Lokhande PB, Indulkar BS, Vaidya PH, 26. Padghan AD, Wagh CB, Ingole AJ, Patil NM, Aundhkar A. Effect of phosphorus and zinc on yield and quality of greengram (Vigna radiata Ĺ.) in inceptisol. International Journal of Engineering Science Computing. and 2018;8(7): 18647-18649.
- 27. Imsande J. Iron, sulfur and chlorophyll deficiencies: A need for an integrative approach in plant physiology. Plant Physiology. 1998;103:139-144.

© 2023 Barla et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102545