



# Influence of Combined Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield Attributes and Grain Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Ankit Kumar <sup>a++\*</sup>, Vishram Singh <sup>b++</sup>,  
Gaurav Kumar Singh <sup>b++</sup>, Vishal Singh <sup>c</sup>,  
Ram Prakash <sup>d</sup> and Pradeep Kumar Kanaujiya <sup>e++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Dr. Khem Singh Gill Akal College of Agriculture, Eternal University, Baru Sahib, Sirmour, H.P. (173101), India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, U.P. (202002), India.

<sup>c</sup> Invertis University, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh (243123), India.

<sup>d</sup> JBIT College of Applied Sciences, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

<sup>e</sup> School of Agriculture, ITM University, Gwalior 474001 (MP), India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i234251

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110405>

Original Research Article

Received: 07/10/2023  
Accepted: 15/12/2023  
Published: 21/12/2023

## ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at SIF of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur during Rabi season of 2017-18. It was experiment consisted eight treatments of different nutritional doses of micro nutrient, organic manure, and micro-organisms, along with

<sup>++</sup> Assistant Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: jayant50ak@gmail.com;

major nutrient with the objective to find out the effect of vermicompost, FYM, Azotobacter, PSB with chemical fertilizer on growth, treatment combination for wheat and assess the economics of the treatments. Due to balance nutrition in wheat variety Shekhar (K-1006). The experiment was organized under RBD with three replications. It was the soil of the field Analyzed medium fertility status concerning available NPK, S and Zn status of micronutrients. The treatment T<sub>5</sub> (RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + vermicompost @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) found superior in terms of maximum root and shoot growth, yield attributes and yield the maximum grain yield (58.75 q/ha.), under recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + vermicompost @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment compared to control treatment.

**Keywords:** Azotobacter; PSB; vermicompost; grain yield; wheat.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Wheat is a very adoptable crop and is grown under a wide range of soil and climatic condition. Rapidly increasing population and shrinking land resources for agriculture production are putting tremendous pressure on land resource due to intensive cultivation. It is very excess exploitation of natural resources is resulting in the total loss health of soil. Therefore, there is importance for enhancing and sustaining the soil productivity in India” [1]. “Worldwide production of wheat was 781.31 million metric tons in 2022-23” [2]. “The major five wheat producing countries are China, European Union, India, Russia and United States of America” (USDA 2023). “In India, the area under wheat was increased since the start of green revolution in 1967 and the production and productivity were also increased. The area under wheat has 30.45 million hectares in 2022-23; the production of wheat in the country has increased 104 million tons in 2022-23 and productivity of wheat 3400 Kg/hectare in 2022-23” (USDA 2023). “The major wheat producing states of India are Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab with production of (35.5, 18.18 and 17.18 MT) and the Uttar Pradesh ranked first in percentage share of wheat production (31.6%) with the second (17.4%) Madhya Pradesh and third of Punjab (14.7%)” [3]. “Organic manures hold promise to source of plant nutrient, improve of soil health and can contribute to crop production substantially. Its support he growth and proliferation of soil micro fauna & flora, thereby making the soil a living system” [1]. “The long term use of inorganic fertilizers without help of organic supplement damages the soil properties such as physical, chemical and biological, and its cause’s environment pollution. Organic manures out not only as a source of nutrients and organic matter, but also improve size, biodiversity an activity of the microbial population in soil, influence soil structure, nutrient

turnover and many other changes related to soil properties parameters” [4]. Nitrogen is an important metabolic element for growth and development of plant. It is considered as essential for synthesis of protein and other biochemical products of plant such as protoplasm which is the basis of life. Phosphorus is second important major plant nutrient for crop production. It has been called as “Bottleneck of world hungers”. “It is a structure component of cell membranes, chloroplast and mitochondria. Potassium plays an important role in the maintenance of cellular organization by regulating the permeability of cellular membranes and keeping the protoplasm in a proper degree of hydration by stabilizing the emulsion of high colloidal properties. Sulphur is a major constituent of amino acid like methionine, cysteine and vitamins lipid acid and acetyl co-A. Sulphur is associated with aromatic compound and creates a type of fragrance, aroma and smell. Zinc an essential component of various enzyme systems for energy production, protein synthesis and growth regulation. Zinc also influence the translocation and transport of phosphorus in plant. Under zinc deficiency excessive translocation of phosphorus occur resulting in toxicity. FYM is also important component of sustainable agriculture. Besides it has manorial properties and it has valuable physical effect on soil texture and improves water holding capacity of the soil. Vermicompost is the compost that is prepared with the help of earth worms. Vermicompost is an excellent base for the establishment of beneficial free living and symbiotic microbes. Application of vermicompost increases the total microbial population of nitrogen fixing bacteria. These bio-fertilizers are used to inoculate cereal crop for increasing the growth, yield attributes and yield. These bio-fertilizers are important aerobic asymbiotic bacteria to fixing atmosphere nitrogen in cereal crops of family poaceae” (Aghaie et al., 2003).

“The rate of N-fixation is in the range of 3-15 kg N/ha per year”. [5]. Dhawan *et al.* 2005; Shavarkar and Joshi 2000; Kachroo and Razdon [6]. “PSB (phosphorus solubilising bacteria) belong to the genera *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* possess the availability to solubilise the bound phosphates in soil and increase its ability to plants. The common phosphates solubilising bacteria are *Pseudomonas striata* and *Bacillus polymixa*. Inoculation of seeds with micro phosphate fertilizer can provide 30 kg. Phosphorus/ha. The combined supply and use of plant nutrients from chemical fertilizers and organic manures has been shown to produce higher crop yield than when each is applied alone. Their appropriate combinations to a production system for optimum and balanced nutrient supply depend on the land use, ecological, social and economic conditions” [7,8].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was carried out in a well-established field at Students Instructional Farm (SIF) of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.), India during the Rabi season 2017-18. The experiment farms fall under the Indogangetic alluvial tract and are irrigated by tube well. The university is situated in Indogangetic alluvial tract of the Central Plain Zone of U.P. that comes in agro-climatic zone-V. The mechanical analysis of the soil of the experimental field was done by the “International Pipette Method” as described by Wright (1939). The soil was sandy loam and neutral with low organic carbon (4.2 g/kg), low available N<sub>2</sub> (189 kg/ha), medium available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (12 kg/ha), medium available K<sub>2</sub>O (176 kg/ha), alkaline soil pH (8.19) and bulk density of the soil before the conduct of experiment was 1.36 mg/cm<sup>3</sup> of 0-6 cm soil depth. Eight treatments, having 7 different integrated combination organic and chemical fertilizer and one chemical fertilizer recommended dose of fertilizer treatment viz.; T<sub>1</sub>, Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) (120:60:40:30:5 N:P:K:S:Zn); T<sub>2</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB; T<sub>3</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 3.0 t/ha; T<sub>4</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 4.0 t/ha; T<sub>5</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha; T<sub>6</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 4.0 t/ha; T<sub>7</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 8.0 t/ha; T<sub>8</sub>, RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 12.0 t/ha were

tested in RBD (Randomized block design) replication three with a plot size of 5.0 m X 3.0 m. ridge size of 30 cm height was made between replication and individual plots to check the out-flow of nutrients and reduce the border effect. Seed of wheat variety K-1006 was sown at row spacing 20 cm. and line sowing of seed is inoculated with a culture of Azotobacter & PSB @ 20 g/kg of seeds and total no. of 5 irrigations were provided to the crop throughout the cropping period. The harvesting of the entire plots was done when all the plants started becoming dry and brittle i.e. crop reached maturity. It was done on 24th April 2018. Initially, two rows from both sides of every plot and 25cm inside from the other two ends were harvested and removed. The remaining net plot was harvested, after the crop had been sun-dried for over a week, it was threshed on 1st May 2018. The cleaned grain was weighed in each net plot with the help of physical balance.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The plant height differed significantly in all observation recorded at 60 DAS (Table No.1). The highest of plant height of 60 DAS (56.66 cm) was recorded under treatment i.e. (T<sub>5</sub>) RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha and lowest of plant height was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha) 60 DAS (48.83 cm) respectively. Vermicompost being a source of organic manure it improves all the properties of the soil. Therefore crop plant attain better of growth. Similar finding were also reported by Saren *et al.* [9] Channaba Savanna *et al.* [10]. Kachroo and Razdon 2006; Hasina *et al.* 2011). The highest productive tillers were recorded in RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha (476 m<sup>-2</sup>) and lowest number of tillers were recorded in RDF (:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha (336.9 m<sup>-2</sup>) control. Vermicompost being a source of organic manure it improves all the properties of the soil. Therefore crop plant attain better of growth. The highest of fresh weight at 60 DAS (39.45 g) was recorded under treatment RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha and the lowest of fresh weight was recorded under treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) RDF (120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha (28.13 g). The highest dry weight of plant at 60 DAS was recorded in treatment RDF+ Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha (13.15 g) and lowest dry weight of plant was recorded under the

treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) RDF 120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha (8.83g).

The highest length of spike (13.10 cm) was recorded under the treatment (RDF + Azotobacter + PSB +Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha). And the lowest length of spike (11.80 cm) was received under the treatment T<sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha) (Table No. 2). Similar findings were also reported by Yadav *et al.* [11]. Ali *et al.* 2004; Kachroo and Razdon [6] Hasina *et.al.* [12]. The maximum number of grains/spike (45.79) was recorded under the treatment (RDF+ Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha) and lowest number of grains per spike (42.75) was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha). It might be duo to application of organic manure (vermicompost) which improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. It also improves water holding capacity of soil along with nutrients uptake capacity of the plant and maintain the temperature of the soil therefore, plant attain better growth and development and yield attributes of the crop. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* [5]. Akram *et al.* [13]. The maximum grain weight per spike (2.69 g) was recorded under the treatment (RDF+ Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha) and the lowest grain weight per spike (1.82 g) was recorded under the

treatment T, RDP (120:60 40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha). Similar findings were also reported by Patel *et al.* [14] Patel and Upadhyay [15]. The maximum test weight (40.47 g) was recorded under the treatment (RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha) and the lowest value of the test weight (36.70 g) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha) (Table 2). Vermicompost improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. It also improves water holding capacity of the plant therefore, plants attain better growth, development as well as yield attributes of the crop. Similar finding was also reported by Zeidan and kramany [16] Hussain *et al.* [17].

The highest significant values are yield of grain (58.75 q/ha), straw (79.31 q/ha), biological (138.06 q/ha) and harvest index (42.55 %) was observed under the treatment T<sub>5</sub> (RDF + Azotobacter + PSB +Vermicompost @5.0 t/ha) and the lowest values yield of grain (51.25 q/ha), straw (73.21 q/ha), biological (124.46 q/ha) and harvest index (41.15 %) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (RDF 120:60:40:30:5 NPK, S, Zn kg/ha) data showing in the Table-3. Similar findings were also reported by Meena *et al.* [1] pareta *et al.* [18] verma *et al.* [19] Polara *et al.* [20-22].

**Table 1. Effect of treatments on growth parameters at 60 DAS in wheat**

Sl. No.	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Productive Tillers (m <sup>2</sup> )	Fresh Weigh of Plant (g)	Dry weight of Plant (g)
1.	T <sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 N:P:K:S:Zn) kg/ha	48.83	336.99	28.13	8.83
2.	T <sub>2</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB	49.70	342.99	30.46	10.21
3.	T <sub>3</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 3.0 t/ha	52.50	426.97	35.03	11.66
4.	T <sub>4</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 4.0 t/ha	53.66	433.09	35.03	11.67
5.	T <sub>5</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha	56.66	476.00	39.45	13.15
6.	T <sub>6</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 4.0 t/ha	50.33	419.65	30.46	10.15
7.	T <sub>7</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 8.0 t/ha	52.00	425.77	32.62	10.87
8.	T <sub>8</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 12.0 t/ha	53.00	428.22	35.03	11.67
	S.E. (d)	1.11	0.27	0.02	0.30
	C.D. at 5%	2.38	0.12	0.08	0.64

**Table 2. Effect of treatments on yield attributes in wheat**

Sl. No.	Treatments	Length of spike (cm)	Weight of grain(g)/spike	No. of grain/spike	Test weight (g)
1.	T <sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 N:P:K:S:Zn) kg/ha	11.80	1.82	42.75	36.70
2.	T <sub>2</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB	11.96	1.98	43.35	37.75
3.	T <sub>3</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 3.0 t/ha	12.20	2.24	44.65	39.19
4.	T <sub>4</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 4.0 t/ha	12.60	2.55	45.35	40.22
5.	T <sub>5</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha	13.10	2.69	45.79	40.47
6.	T <sub>6</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 4.0 t/ha	12.03	2.08	43.61	38.29
7.	T <sub>7</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 8.0 t/ha	12.10	2.20	43.85	38.72
8.	T <sub>8</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 12.0 t/ha	12.53	2.39	45.18	39.77
	S.E. (d)	0.15	0.06	0.8	0.31
	C.D. at 5%	0.23	0.13	1.7	0.66

**Table 3. Effect of treatments on test weight and grain yield in wheat**

Sl. No.	Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)	Biological yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1.	T <sub>1</sub> RDF (120:60:40:30:5 N:P:K:S:Zn) kg/ha	51.25	73.21	124.46	41.15
2.	T <sub>2</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB	53.00	74.73	127.73	41.49
3.	T <sub>3</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 3.0 t/ha	55.45	76.52	131.97	42.01
4.	T <sub>4</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 4.0 t/ha	57.30	77.92	135.22	42.37
5.	T <sub>5</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha	58.75	79.31	138.06	42.55
6.	T <sub>6</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 4.0 t/ha	54.20	75.82	130.01	41.66
7.	T <sub>7</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 8.0 t/ha	54.55	75.88	130.43	41.84
8.	T <sub>8</sub> RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + FYM @ 12.0 t/ha	55.90	76.58	132.48	42.19
	S.E. (d)	1.33	0.87	3.29	0.31
	C.D. at 5%	2.85	1.86	7.07	0.66

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Application of integrated nutrient management significantly influenced growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat crop. The superior growth, yield attributes and highest grain yield (58.75) t/ha was received in RDF + Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @5.0 t/ha. Application of RDF+ Azotobacter + PSB + Vermicompost @ 5.0 t/ha was found superior among rest of the treatments.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Meena HM, Sharma RP, Sankhyan NK. Sepehya S. Effect of continuous application of fertilizer, farm yard manure and lime on soil fertility and productivity of the maize-wheat system in an acid alfisol. Communication in soil science and plant analysis. 2017;48(13):1552-1563.
2. Statista, Global wheat production; 2023. Available: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/267268/production-of-wheat-worldwide-since-1990/1991-2022/2023>

3. Financial report (2021-22). Agriculture, farming, Statista.  
Available: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1365756/india-wheat-production-by-leading-state/>
4. Albiach R, Cauet R, Pomares F, Ingelmo, F. Microbial biomass content and enzymatic activities after the application of organic amendment to a horticulture soil. *Biores techs.* 2000;75: 43-48.
5. Singh R, Agarwal SK. Growth and yield of wheat as influenced by level of farm yard manure and nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 2000;46(31):462-467.
6. Kachroo D, Razdan R. Growth nutrient uptake yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by bio-fertilizer and nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 2006;15(1):37-39.
7. Nayak AK, Gangwar B, Shukla AK, Mazumdar SF, Kumar A, Raja R, Kumar A, Kumar W, Rai PK, Mohan U. Long term effect different integrated nutrient management on soil organic carbon and its fractions and sustainability of rice-wheat system in indo Gangatic plains of India. *Field crop research.* 2011;127(2012) :129-139.
8. Saren BK, Dey S, Mandal D. Effect of irrigation and sulphur on yield attributes, productivity, consumptive use, consumptive use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 2004;74(5):257-261.
9. Walia MK, Walia SS, Dhaliwal SS.) Long term effect of integrated nutrient management of properties of typic ustochrept after 23 cycles of an irrigated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) system. *Journal of sustainable agriculture.* 2010;34:724-743.
10. Channabasavanna AS. and Biradar DP. Utilization of poultry manure as an effective organic source in maize (*Zea mays*). *Extended Summaries Vol-I. Second International Agronomy Congress, New Delhi, India.* 2002;26-30:420.
11. Yadav KS, Singh DP, Sunya S, Narula N. Lakshminarayan K. Effect of Azotobacter on yield and nitrogen economy in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under field condition. *Environment and Ecology Journal.* 2000; 18(1):109-113.
12. Hasina G, Ahmad S, Beena S, Ijaz, A. and Khalid A. Response of yield and yield components of wheat towards foliar spray of nitrogen, Potassium and zinc. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science.* 2011; 6(2):23-25.
13. Akram M, Rajpot BA, Altaf RS, Shafi CM. Effect of different level on the yield of wheat under green manure condition *J. Agric. Res.* 2012; 200:87-94.
14. Patel NM, Patel RD, Patel KK. Response of wheat varieties to nitrogen and phosphorus. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 1991;36:255-256.
15. Patel RM, Upadhyay PN. Response of wheat to irrigation under varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Indian Journal Agronomy.* 1993;38(1):113-115.
16. Zeidan MS, Kramany MFEL. Effect of organic manure and slow-release N-fertilizers on the productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in sandy soil. *Acta Agronomica Hungarica.* 2001;49(4):379-385.
17. Hussain N, Khan MB, Ahmad R. Phosphorus effect on wheat growth in calcareous soil. *Int. J. Agri. Biology.* 2008;10(4):399-404.
18. Pareta DK, Ojha RX, David AA. Response of N and Zn on physico-chemical properties of soil and yield of wheat under alluvial soil condition. *Environment and Ecology.* 2009;27(4): 1895-1898.
19. Verma VK, Singh V, Pyare R, Srivastava, AK, Yadav DD, Yadav R, Goyal P. Impact assessment of farm yard manures and microbial inoculants superimposed over inorganic fertilizers on the production and productivity of wheat. *International Journal of community science and tech.* 2015;1(1):53-61.
20. Polara KB, Sardhana RV, Pormar KB, Babariya NB, Patel KG. Given K uptake to produce grain and straw yield significantly. *Asian Journal of Soil Science.* 2010;4(2): 228-235.

21. United States Department of Agriculture 2022-23, Foreign Agriculture Service, Available:<https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/country-summary/Default.aspx?id=IN&crop=Wheat>
22. United States Department of Agriculture, World Wheat Production 2022-23, Available:<http://www.worldagriculturalproduction.com/crops/wheat.aspx>

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

*<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110405>*