

*Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*

*Volume 27, Issue 10, Page 1617-1624, 2024; Article no.JABB.125770 ISSN: 2394-1081*

# **Impact of Row Configuration and Biofertilizers on Yield and Quality of Sorghum (***Sorghum bicolor* **(L.) Moench.) Intercropped with Cowpea in the Southern Laterites of Kerala, India**

**Kasapogu Rachel Madhurima a\* , Shalini Pillai, P. <sup>a</sup> , Rajasree G. <sup>a</sup> , Usha C. Thomas <sup>a</sup> and Chitra N. <sup>b</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala-695522, India. <sup>b</sup>Department of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala-695522, India.*

# *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

# *Article Information*

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i101586>

**Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125770>

> *Received: 21/08/2024 Accepted: 23/10/2024 Published: 26/10/2024*

*Original Research Article*

# **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani during December 2023 to April 2024 to assess the performance of sorghum in terms of yield and quality, when intercropped with cowpea at varying row configuration and biofertilizers. The field experiment

\_

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: rachelmadhurima@gmail.com;*

*Cite as: Madhurima, Kasapogu Rachel, Shalini Pillai, P., Rajasree G., Usha C. Thomas, and Chitra N. 2024. "Impact of Row Configuration and Biofertilizers on Yield and Quality of Sorghum (Sorghum Bicolor (L.) Moench.) Intercropped With Cowpea in the Southern Laterites of Kerala, India". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (10):1617-24. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i101586.*

was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD). The study comprised intercropping sorghum with cowpea, at three row ratios and four levels of biofertilizer application ( $r_1$  – 1:1 row ratio,  $r_2$  – 1:2 row ratio,  $r_3$  – 2:1 row ratio;  $b_0$  – No biofertilizer,  $b_1$  – AMF,  $b_2$  – Rhizobium and  $b_3$  – AMF + Rhizobium). The treatment r<sub>3</sub> (2:1 row ratio) resulted in higher grain yield, grains per panicle, grain weight per panicle, and green stover yield of sorghum.19.4 per cent yield increase was observed in the treatment r<sub>3</sub> than r<sub>2</sub>. Application of AMF and Rhizobium together (b<sub>3</sub>) resulted in more number of grains per panicle, grain weight, grain yield and green stover yield of sorghum. Sorghum grain yield was reduced in the absence of biofertilizer (b<sub>0</sub>). The treatment combination r<sub>2</sub>b<sub>1</sub> (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 1:2 ratio along with AMF) showed the highest crude protein content in sorghum grains. Iron and copper content were increased with the application of AMF  $(b_1)$ . However, application of AMF and Rhizobium (b<sub>3</sub>) together resulted in higher magnesium content in sorghum grains.

*Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF); cowpea; intercropping; rhizobium; row ratio; Sorghum.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Millets, recognized as one of the ancient foods of man are invaluable for various reasons, notably their resilience to adverse environmental conditions and their significant nutritional value. Among millets, sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench.) has been acknowledged a versatile and nutrient-dense grain with a long history of cultivation spanning centuries. The grains of sorghum holds a diverse array of essential nutrients that confer its health-promoting properties. On an average, the sorghum grains (per 100 g) have been reported to contain 193 Kcal energy, 7.1 g protein, 0.6 g fat, 39.8 g carbohydrates, 0.9 g fibre, 10 mg calcium, 3.5 mg iron and 1.7 mg niacin [1,2]. Its high fibre content aids in maintaining digestive health by promoting regular bowel movements reduce the risk of digestive disorders. As a rich source of carbohydrates, sorghum facilitates sustained energy release, proving particularly beneficial for individuals with active lifestyles or higher energy demands [3,4]. Its low glycemic index aids in blood sugar regulation, crucial for diabetes management, while the dietary fibre assists in reducing cholesterol levels, thereby lowering the risk of cardiovascular diseases [5]. Sole cropping of millets will not be an attractive option for majority of the farmers of Kerala, mainly due to the lack of thorough familiarity with these crops. Legumes are the major group of crops that are highly flexible as components in diverse cropping system. Further, the significance of legumes as intercrops in cereal/millet-based systems lies in their ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. In Kerala, cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) is one of pulses with high consumer preference and greater degree of adaptability. AMF enhance the uptake of phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N), and micronutrients like zinc (Zn), which are critical for

plant growth, especially in nutrient-poor soils [15]. By enhancing root health and competing for space and resources, AMF can reduce the susceptibility of plants to soil-borne diseases [6]. Rhizobium inoculation has been shown to significantly improve the yield and nitrogen content of leguminous crops, which also benefits intercropped non-leguminous crops by improving overall soil nitrogen levels [7]. Both AMF and rhizobial inoculation have been reported to enhance the acquisition of nitrogen by the host crops.

# **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **2.1 Description of Study Area**

The field experiment was conducted on a red, sandy clay loam soil at the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani during December 2023 to April 2024, the sorghum variety used for the study was CO-32. The soil of the experimental site was strongly acidic in reaction (pH – 5.46), medium in organic carbon  $(0.65 \%)$ , low in available nitrogen  $(216 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ , high in available phosphorus  $(51.2 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$  and medium in available potassium status (181.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The mean maximum temperature ranged between  $32.17^{\circ}$ C to  $34.23^{\circ}$ C and the mean minimum temperature ranged between 20.01 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  to 25.76 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ . A total rainfall of 136.3 mm was received during the experimental period.

# **2.2 Treatments, Design and Experimental Procedures**

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 3 x 4 treatments replicated thrice. The study comprised intercropping sorghum with cowpea (in additive series), at three row ratios and four levels of biofertilizer application.

| S. No         | <b>Parameter</b>                         | <b>Content</b> | Rating             | <b>Method adopted</b>                                       |
|---------------|--|----------------|--------------------|---|
|               | Soil reaction (pH)                       | 5.46           | Strongly<br>acidic | 1:2.5 soil solution ratio using pH<br>meter $[13]$          |
| $\mathcal{P}$ | Electrical conductivity<br>$(dS m^{-1})$ | 0.45           | Normal             | 1:2.5 soil solution ratio using<br>conductivity bridge [13] |
| 3             | Organic carbon (%)                       | 0.65           | Medium             | Walkley and Black rapid titration<br>method [13]            |
| 4             | Available N (kg ha-1)                    | 216            | Low                | Alkaline permanganate method<br>[14]                        |
| 5             | Available P (kg ha-1)                    | 51.2           | High               | Bray colorimetric method [13]                               |
| 6             | Available K (kg ha-1)                    | 181.6          | Medium             | Ammonium acetate method [13]                                |

**Table 1. Chemical properties of soil of the experimental site**

Treatment details were as follows  $(r_1 - 1:1$  row ratio,  $r_2 - 1:2$  row ratio,  $r_3 - 2:1$  row ratio;  $b_0 - No$ biofertilizer,  $b_1$  – AMF,  $b_2$  – Rhizobium and  $b_3$  – AMF + Rhizobium). The spacing followed for sorghum was 45 cm x 15 cm and that for cowpea was 25 cm x 15 cm. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) was applied to sorghum at the time of sowing. AMF at the rate of 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was mixed with powdered organic manure and applied along with the sowing of sorghum on the ridges. Seeds of cowpea were treated with *Rhizobium* culture as per the KAU POP [8]. Farmyard manure (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied uniformly to all the treatments before sowing. The nutrient recommendation followed for sorghum and cowpea were 75: 50: 50 kg NPK ha-1 and 20:30:10 kg NPK ha-1 respectively. The yield attributes and yield of sorghum were recorded following standard procedures. The crude protein content (on dry weight basis) of the sorghum grains was computed as the product of the nitrogen content in the grains and a constant (6.25) [9]. Calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese and copper content in sorghum grains were analysed by using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer [10]. The data generated from the field experiment were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique as applied to randomized block design [11]. The General R based Analysis Platform Empowered by Statistics (GRAPES 1.0.0) software developed by Gopinath *et al*. [12] was used for undertaking statistical analysis.

# **2.3 Chemical Properties of Soil of the Experimental Site**

The soil of the experimental site was red, sandy clay loam in texture, strongly acidic in reaction, high in organic carbon, high in available phosphorus and medium in available nitrogen and potassium status (Table 1).

# **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Grains Per Panicle**

Among the three row ratios,  $r_3$  (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio) resulted in the highest number of grains per panicle (424.13). The treatment with AMF + Rhizobium (b3) resulted in the highest number of grains per panicle (384.49). The treatment combinations  $r_3b_1$  (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio along with  $AMF$ ) and  $r_3b_3$  (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio along with AMF and rhizobium) had significantly more number of grains per panicle. (436.07 and 424.93 respectively) (Table 2). Cowpea, being a legume with rapid growth and development is always competitive than cereals and millets. In 2:1 row ratio of sorghum: cowpea  $(r_3)$ , the population of cowpea was lesser compared to sorghum. This might have given a competitive advantage for sorghum over cowpea, resulting in better utilisation of the resources leading to a higher sink capacity denoted by more number of grains per panicle. Application of AMF and Rhizobium together (b3) resulted in more number of grains per panicle (384.49), grain weight per panicle (43.90 g) and consequently higher grain yield (2909 kg ha-1 ) and green stover yield (11595 kg ha-1 ) of sorghum. AMF improve the uptake of key nutrients like phosphorus (P), which is essential for grain development in cereals. Phosphorus plays a critical role in energy transfer and photosynthesis, both of which are crucial for reproductive development, including grain formation [15]. Similarly, the application of rhizobium to cowpea enhances biological nitrogen fixation, which improves soil nitrogen levels. This indirectly benefits sorghum in intercropping systems by increasing nitrogen availability for both crops. The increased nitrogen can enhance the grain-filling period, leading to more grains per panicle [7].

## **3.2 Grain Weight Per Panicle**

Grain weight per panicle showed significant variation in response to row ratio. Sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio  $(r<sub>3</sub>)$  showed the highest grain weight per panicle (45.38 g). Application of both  $\overline{AMF}$  and rhizobium (b<sub>3</sub>) resulted in the highest grain weight per panicle (43.90 g). Sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio along with  $AMF + Rhizobium$  ( $r_3b_3$ ) proved to be superior with higher (47.20 g) grain weight per panicle (Table 2). Root-associated symbiosis plays a vital role in supporting sustainable agriculture by enhancing plant growth and improving soil quality, ultimately benefiting the health of host plants [16]. AMF and Rhizobium are two symbionts which has prominent roles in nutrient acquisition by the associating crops. The extraradical hyphae of AMF in the soil help the host plant acquire nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus in return for the carbon provided by the host in their symbiotic relationship [17, 18]. In addition, both AMF and Rhizobia drive nitrogen cycling in the

soil [19]. As suggested by Liu *et al*. [20], AMF might have promoted N storage in the soil by means of insoluble, recalcitrant proteins released by it, while rhizobia might have release nitrogen into the soil through the decay of root nodules of the legume which in the present study was cowpea. Thus the dual effect of AMF and Rhizobium might have benefitted sorghum resulting in better yield attributes.

# **3.3 Grain yield**

Sorghum and cowpea intercropped in 2:1 ratio (r3) proved to be superior with the highest grain yield of sorghum (3122 kg ha $^{-1}$ ). The treatment ba (AMF + Rhizobium) showed the highest (2909 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) grain yield of sorghum followed by  $b_1$  (AMF) (2852 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment combination  $r_3b_1$ (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio along with AMF) showed higher grain yield of sorghum (3187 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 2). The higher yield of sorghum in the 2:1 row ratio could be attributed to several reasons, the first and foremost being based on plant population.





It was logical to reason out that the higher plant population of sorghum in 2:1 row ratio as compared to 1:1 and 1: 2 row ratios, which had only one row of sorghum for every one and two rows of cowpea respectively might have contributed to a better yield. The sorghum cowpea intercropping system might have optimized the growth conditions for sorghum while benefiting from the presence of cowpea.

# **3.4 Green Stover yield**

The treatment  $r_3$  (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio) resulted in the highest (11731 kg ha-1 ) green stover yield. Application of both AMF and Rhizobium (b<sub>3</sub>) exhibited the highest green stover yield of sorghum (11595 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment combination, r<sub>2</sub>b<sub>3</sub> (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 2:1 ratio along with AMF and rhizobium) resulted in the highest green stover yield (12286 kg ha -1 ) of sorghum (Table 2). The combination of AMF and Rhizobium could have led to increased root colonization and biomass, enhancing the overall nutrient absorption capacity of sorghum. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have been identified to have consistent effect on stomatal conductance, transpiration, CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, photosynthesis and chlorophyll content and consequently on growth and development of plants.

# **3.5 Crude Protein Content In Sorghum Grains**

Sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 1:2 ratio (r2) exhibited highest crude protein content in the grains of sorghum (8.86 %). Application of AMF (b1) resulted in the highest crude protein content  $(8.98\%)$ . The treatment combination  $r_2b_1$ (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 1:2 ratio along with AMF) showed highest crude protein content of sorghum (10.16%) (Fig. 1). AMF improves the absorption of nitrogen and phosphorus, essential for protein formation. Sorghum plants with AMF show higher yields and better nutritional profiles, including increased protein content [21].

# **3.6 Mineral Content in Sorghum Grains**

The treatment combination  $r_2b_1$  (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 1:2 ratio along with AMF) exhibited higher calcium content in sorghum grain. (345.67 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). AMF increases the root surface area, leading to improved calcium absorption. Intercropping with cowpea enhances nutrient cycling and availability in the soil [22]. The treatment b<sub>3</sub> (AMF + Rhizobium) resulted in the highest magnesium content in sorghum grain  $(156.22 \text{ mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1})$ (Table 3). The application of AMF and Rhizobium could have enhanced the microbial activity in the rhizosphere, increasing nutrient mobilization processes. Application of AMF  $(b<sub>1</sub>)$  resulted in the highest iron content in sorghum grain (5.29 mg 100 g-1 ) (Table 3). AMF inoculation has been reported to significantly increase the release of phytosiderophores, which are crucial for mobilizing Fe in the soil. This process might have facilitated the uptake of Fe by sorghum roots [23]. AMF might have also enhanced the soil microbial activity, which in turn improved the bioavailability of Fe and other nutrients, further supporting the nutritional quality of sorghum grains. In contrast, application of Rhizobium (b2)





| <b>Treatment</b>                   | Calcium   | <b>Magnesium</b> | <b>Iron</b> | <b>Manganese</b> | Copper    |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Row ratio (R)                      |           |                  |             |                  |           |  |  |  |  |
| $r_1 - 1:1$                        | 339.83    | 146.00           | 4.52        | 1.48             | 0.25      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_2 - 1:2$                        | 334.08    | 144.83           | 4.34        | 1.45             | 0.27      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_3 - 2:1$                        | 335.67    | 145.50           | 4.45        | 1.48             | 0.22      |  |  |  |  |
| SE m $(\pm)$                       | 1.68      | 0.36             | 0.045       | 0.01             | 0.02      |  |  |  |  |
| CD (0.05)                          | <b>NS</b> | <b>NS</b>        | <b>NS</b>   | <b>NS</b>        | <b>NS</b> |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Biofertilizer (B)</b>           |           |                  |             |                  |           |  |  |  |  |
| $b_0$ – no biofertilizer           | 336.56    | 141.44           | 3.55        | 1.25             | 0.22      |  |  |  |  |
| $b_1 - AMF$                        | 339.33    | 137.78           | 5.29        | 1.50             | 0.33      |  |  |  |  |
| $b_2$ – Rhizobium                  | 337.67    | 146.33           | 4.46        | 1.64             | 0.29      |  |  |  |  |
| $b_3 - AMF + Rhizobium$            | 332.56    | 156.22           | 4.59        | 1.50             | 0.16      |  |  |  |  |
| SE m $(\pm)$                       | 1.95      | 0.42             | 0.05        | 0.02             | 0.02      |  |  |  |  |
| CD (0.05)                          | <b>NS</b> | 1.240            | 0.154       | 0.061            | 0.064     |  |  |  |  |
| Row ratio (R) x Biofertilizer (B)  |           |                  |             |                  |           |  |  |  |  |
| $r_1b_0 - 1:1 + No$ biofertilizer  | 342.00    | 142.33           | 3.57        | 1.25             | 0.24      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_1b_1 - 1:1 + AMF$               | 335.67    | 138.00           | 5.30        | 1.47             | 0.33      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_1b_2 - 1:1 + Rhizobium$         | 344.33    | 146.00           | 4.57        | 1.77             | 0.30      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_1b_3 - 1:1 + (AMF + Rhizobium)$ | 337.33    | 157.67           | 4.66        | 1.43             | 0.16      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_2b_0 - 1:2 + No$ biofertilizer  | 335.00    | 140.00           | 3.51        | 1.24             | 0.21      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_2b_1 - 1:2 + AMF$               | 345.67    | 137.67           | 5.10        | 1.46             | 0.42      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_2b_2 - 1:2 + Rhizobium$         | 332.33    | 145.67           | 4.47        | 1.57             | 0.31      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_2b_3 - 1:2 + (AMF + Rhizobium)$ | 323.33    | 156.00           | 4.67        | 1.54             | 0.14      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_3b_0 - 2:1 + No$ biofertilizer  | 332.67    | 142.00           | 3.57        | 1.25             | 0.21      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_3b_1 - 2:1 + AMF$               | 336.67    | 137.67           | 5.47        | 1.56             | 0.24      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_3b_2 - 2:1 + Rhizobium$         | 336.33    | 147.33           | 4.33        | 1.60             | 0.25      |  |  |  |  |
| $r_3b_3 - 2:1 + (AMF + Rhizobium)$ | 337.00    | 155.00           | 4.43        | 1.54             | 0.17      |  |  |  |  |
| SE m $(\pm)$                       | 3.37      | 0.728            | 0.09        | 0.02             | 0.03      |  |  |  |  |
| CD (0.05)                          | 9.959     | <b>NS</b>        | <b>NS</b>   | 0.106            | <b>NS</b> |  |  |  |  |
| Sole crop                          | 332.00    | 136.00           | 4.48        | 1.26             | 0.23      |  |  |  |  |
| 7 N C<br>not significant           |           |                  |             |                  |           |  |  |  |  |

**Table 3. Effect of row ratio and biofertilizer on calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese and copper content in sorghum grains, mg 100 g-1 (on dry weight basis)**

*NS – not significant*

proved to be superior with the highest manganese content  $(1.64 \text{ mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1})$  in the grains of sorghum (Table 3). The treatment combination r<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub> (sorghum intercropped with cowpea in 1:1 ratio along with Rhizobium) showed the highest manganese content in sorghum grain (1.77 mg 100  $g^{-1}$ ). The treatment  $b_1$  (AMF) exhibited higher copper content (0.33 mg 100  $g^{-1}$ ) and was statistically on par with b<sub>2</sub> (Rhizobium) (Table 3). AMF associations significantly improve nutrient uptake, including copper, in sorghum, leading to enhanced grain quality. The presence of AMF can increase the bioavailability of micronutrients, including copper, which is crucial for plant health and nutrition [21].

# **4. CONCLUSION**

From the present study it could be concluded that, intercropping sorghum with cowpea in 2:1 row ratio and inoculating sorghum with AMF and  $c$ owpea with Rhizobium  $(r_3b_3)$  could be recommended as a viable option for higher productivity and profitability of sorghum. However, with respect to the grain quality, the treatment combination  $r_2b_1$  (sorghum + cowpea in 1:2 row ratio + AMF for sorghum) was observed to yield superior results.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance and infrastructure support provided by the Kerala Agricultural University in the conduct of the study.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Ajiboye TO, Iliasu GA, Adeleye AO, Abdussalam FA, Akinpelu SA, Ogunbode SM, Jimoh SO, Oloyede OB. Nutritional and antioxidant dispositions of sorghum/millet-based beverages indigenous to Nigeria. *Food Sci.* Nutr. 2014;2(5):597-604.
- 2. Hassan ZM, Sebola NA, Mabelebele M. The nutritional use of millet grain for food and feed: a review. *Agric.* Food Security. 2021;10:1-14.
- 3. Saleh AS, Zhang Q, Chen J, Shen Q. Millet grains: nutritional quality, processing, and potential health benefits. Comprehen. Rev. Food Sci. Food Safety. 2013;12(3):281- 295.
- 4. Drub TF, dos Santos FG, Centeno ACLS, Capriles VD. Sorghum, millet and pseudocereals as ingredients for glutenfree whole-grain yeast rolls. *Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci*. 2021;23:100293 Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jigfs.202](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jigfs.2020.100293%20%5b01) [0.100293](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jigfs.2020.100293%20%5b01)
- 5. Duodu, K. G. and Awika, J. M. Phytochemical-related health-promoting attributes of sorghum and millets. In: Taylor, J. R. N. and Duodu, K. G. (eds),<br>Sorghum and Millets: Chemistry. *Sorghum and Millets: Chemistry, Technology and Nutritional Attributes*. AACC International Press, London. 2019;225-258.
- 6. Gosling P, Hodge A, Goodlass G, Bending GD. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic farming. Adv. Agron. 2022;153:111-139.
- 7. Rodrigues MA, Vale HC. Effect of rhizobium inoculation on leguminous crop yields and soil nitrogen. Agric. Sci*.* 2023; 34(2):201-214.
- 8. KAU (Kerala Agricultural University). Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2016;42.
- 9. Simpson JE, Roy AC, Kohler GO, Dawson EH, Deobald HJ, Kester EB, Hogan JT, Batcher O M, halick JV.Quality evaluation studies of foreign and domestic rices. Technical Bulletin No. 171277, United<br>States Department of Agriculture. States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. 1965;186p.
- 10. Venugopal VK, Nair KM, Vijayan MR, John KS, Sureshkumar P, Ramesh CR. (Eds). *Manual on Soil, Plant and Water Analysis*. Department of Agriculture, Government of Kerala. 2013;157.
- 11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India. 1985;347.
- 12. Gopinath PP, Parsad R, Joseph B, Adarsh VS. Grapes Agril: Collection of shiny apps for data analysis in agriculture. *J. Open source Software.* 20216;(63): 3437-3441.
- 13. Jackson ML. *Soil Chemical Analysis* (2nd Ed.). Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi. 1973;489.
- 14. Subbiah DV, Asija GL. Rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soil. Curr. Sci. 195625: 259-260.
- 15. Smith SE, Read DJ. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis* (4th ed.) Academic Press; 2023. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-370526-6.X5001-6%20%5b25) [12-370526-6.X5001-6 .](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-370526-6.X5001-6%20%5b25)
- 16. Loo WT, Chua KO, Mazumdar P, Cheng A, Osman N, Harikrishna AJ. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis: a strategy for mitigating the impacts of climate change on tropical legume crops. Plants 2022;11: 2875.
- 17. Kowal J, Arrigoni E, Jarvis S, Zappala S, Forbes E, Bidartondo MI, Suz LM. Atmospheric pollution, soil nutrients and climate effects on Mucoromycota arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Environ. Microbiol. 2022;24: 3390-3404.
- 18. Marro N, Grilli G, Soteras F, Caccia M, Longo S, Cofré N, Borda V, Burni M, Janouskova M, Urcelay C. The effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species and taxonomic groups on stressed and unstressed plants: a global meta–analysis. New Phytol. 2022;235: 320-332.
- 19. Nelson MB, Martiny AC, Martiny JBH. Global biogeography of microbial nitrogencycling traits in soil Proc. Natl Acad. Sci*.*  USA. 2016;113;8033-8040.
- 20. Liu RC, Meng LL, Zou YN, He XH, Wu QS. Introduction of earthworms into mycorrhizosphere of white clover facilitates N storage in glomalin-related soil protein and contribution to soil total N. *Appl. Soil Ecol*. 2022;179: 104597. Available: https:// doi.org/ 10.1016/ j.apsoil.2022.104597
- 21. Watts‐Williams SJ, Gill AR, Jewell N, Brien CJ, Berger B, Tran BT, Mace E, Cruickshank AW, Jordan DR, Garnett T,

Cavagnaro TR. Enhancement of sorghum grain yield and nutrition: A role for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi regardless of soil phosphorus availability. Plants People Planet. 2021; 4(2):143-156.

22. Abroulaye S, Nouhoun Z, Jethro DB, Abalo KE, Abdoulaye O, Esteban FR, José D, Ken BJ, Adegbola A. Sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] and cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walpers] intercropping improves grain yield, fodder biomass, and

nutritive value. Front. Animal Sci. 2023;4:1233570.

Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fanim.202 3.1233570

23. Prity SA, Sajib SA, Das U, Rahman MM, Haider SA, Kabir AH. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi mitigate Fe deficiency symptoms in sorghum through phytosiderophore-mediated Fe mobilization and restoration of redox status. Protoplasma. 2020;257(5):1373- 1385.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. \_

*© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125770>*