

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(10): 93-97, 2022; Article no.IJECC.86864 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Influence of Sulphur and Zinc on Growth and Yield of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)

Naveen Yadav ^{a*≡}, C. Umesha ^a and Lalit Kumar Sanadiya ^{a#}

^a Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, 211007, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i1030773

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86864

Original Research Article

Received 15 February 2022 Accepted 27 April 2022 Published 28 April 2022

ABSTRACT

Aim: To study the retaliation performances of Sulphur and zinc on growth, yield and yield attributes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculate* L.)

Place and Duration of Study A field experiment was carried out during *kharif* season of 2021 at Crop Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh, India.

Methodology: The Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with Ten treatments which were replicated thrice. with treatment combination of $(T_1 \text{ to } T_{10}) T_1 20 \text{ kg/ha S} + 10 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_2 20 \text{ kg/ha S} + 15 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_3 20 \text{ kg/ha S} + 20 \text{ kg/ ha Zn}, T_4 30 \text{ kg/ha S} + 10 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_5 30 \text{ kg/ha S} + 15 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_6 30 \text{ kg/ha S} + 20 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_7 45 \text{ kg/ha S} + 10 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_8 45 \text{ kg/ha S} + 15 \text{ kg/ha S} + 20 \text{ kg/ha Zn}, T_10 \text{ Control were used}.$

Result: Among various sulphur and zinc combinations T_9 treatment recorded maximum

Plant height (75.64 cm), plant dry weight (67.90g/plant), number of branches per plant (9.66), harvest index (47.93 %), gain yield (13.67 q/ha), straw yield (15.26 q/ha).

Conclusion: It was determined that the application of Sulphur – 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha resulted in a higher grain yield (13.67 q/ha), which was significantly superior to the other treatments.

Keywords: Cowpea; growth; sulphur; zinc; yield.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: burrinaveenyadav11@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

"Cowpea (Vigna unguiculate L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops grown as pulse, vegetable and fodder. It is poor man's protein source and considered one of the most ancient human food sources and has probably been used as a crop plant since Neolithic times" [1]. "Cowpea is a vital multipurpose grain legume extensively cultivated in arid and semiarid tropics. It is an important source of nutrients and provides high quality, inexpensive protein diet based on cereal grains and starch foods. Cowpea is a good source of food, fodder and vegetables" [2]. "In India pulses are grown nearly in 25.43 m ha with an annual production of 17.28 m t and a median productivity of 679 kg/ha)" [3]. In Raiasthan, the realm under pulses is 47.54 lakh ha with an annual production of 32.54 lakh mt and an average productivity of 684 kg/ha and also the area under Cowpea is 1.02 lakh ha with the production of 0.64 lakh tonnes and productivity of 529 kg/ha. The per capita availability of pulses in India is 35.5 g/day as against the minimum requirement of 70 g/day.

"Sulphur is the fourth major nutrient next to N, P and K and an essential element for plant growth particularly for legumes crops which play an important role in plant metabolism system, S containing amino acids (cystine, cysteine and methionine) and promotes nodulation in legumes. It helps in chlorophyll formation and encourages vegetative plant growth" [4].

Zinc, which is important for growth and reproduction in plants, animals and humans, is one of the seventh essential micronutrients. In plants, it plays a key role during physiological growth, DNA stabilization, gene expression, enzyme activity, protein synthesis and improved chlorophyll function Zinc deficiency is a major limiting factor in several Asian countries [5]. It is now being recorded as third most deficient nutrient in crop production after nitrogen and phosphorus. In India, zinc deficient soils occupy almost 50% of the agricultural area and are a critical constraint on yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during *kharif* season of 2021 at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences,

Pravagrai, Uttar Pradesh, which is located at 25°24'41.27" N latitude, 81°50'56" E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. This area is situated on the right side of the river Yamuna and south east side of Prayagraj city. Having nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.0), organic carbon (0.375 %), available nitrogen (168.75 kg/ha), available phosphorus (17.4 kg/ha) and available potassium (231.7 kg/ha). The climate of the region is semi-arid subtropical. In this experiment a total of ten treatments were tested. Treatment composed of T₁- Sulphur – 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha, T₂- Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc – 15 kg/ha, T₃- Sulphur – 20 kg/ha + Zinc – 20 kg/ha, T₄- Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha, T₅- Sulphur – 30 kg/ha + Zinc – 15 kg/ha, T_6 - Sulphur – 30 kg/ha + Zinc – 20 kg/ha, T_7 -Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha, T₈-Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha, Tg-Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha, T10-Control (20:50:20 NPK) kg/ha. Ten treatments were replicated thrice in Randomized Complete Block Design. The recommended dose of fertilizer (N: P: K) is 20:50:20 kg/ha. The statistical approach was used to calculate and analyte the statistics [6].

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height (cm)

Observations recorded in respective to the plant height of cowpea were presented in Table 1 reveals that plant crop age and plant height was progressively increased durina the experimentation period. The analysis on plant height was significantly higher in all the different growth intervals with the effect of different levels of sulphur and zinc. At harvest maximum plant height was recorded (75.64 cm) with the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha which was significantly superior over all other treatments except with the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha (73.27 cm). The probable reason for increasing plant height might be due to the presence of sulphur in the application plays important role in several physiological and biochemical processes which are of vital importance for growth and development of plant. Similar results were earlier reported by [7]. Application of zinc increase in plant height might be due to its role in biosynthesis of indole acetic acid (IAA) and especially due to its helps in initiation of primordial for promoting of photosynthesis which resulted in better plant growth and yield [6].

	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of Branches per plant	Dry weight (g/plant)
		At harvest	At harvest	At harvest
T1	Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha	65.85	8.40	58.20
T2	Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha	66.84	8.43	58.63
Т3	Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha	67.94	8.46	59.01
T4	Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha	68.38	8.53	61.2
T5	Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha	69.97	8.60	61.42
T6	Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha	71.33	8.70	63.70
T7	Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha	70.46	8.63	63.02
Т8	Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha	73.27	8.93	64.78
Т9	Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha	75.64	9.66	67.90
T10	Control (NPK 20: 50: 20) kg/ha	63.33	7.86	56.58
	SEm (±)	0.845	0.264	1.124
	CD (0.05%)	2.51	0.784	3.342

Table 1. Influence of Sulphur and Zinc growth attributes of Cowpea

Table 2. Influence of Sulphur and Zinc on Yield and Economics of Cowpea

	Treatments		Yield	
		Grain yield(q/ha)	Stover yield(q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T1	Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha	7.98	9.44	45.8
T2	Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha	8.91	10.30	46.37
Т3	Sulphur - 20 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha	9.00	10.46	46.23
T4	Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha	9.62	11.08	46.52
T5	Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha	10.83	12.45	46.51
T6	Sulphur - 30 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha	11.53	13.17	46.66
T7	Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha	11.27	12.29	47.93
T8	Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha	12.03	14.27	45.78
Т9	Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha	13.67	15.26	47.30
T10	Control (NPK 20: 50: 20)	7.90	8.70	47.45
	S.Em(±)	0.345	0.340	1.018
	CD (p=0.05)	1.02	1.010	-

3.2 Number of Branches per Plant

"No of branches per plant were showed in Table 1 and was increased with advancement of the crop during the crop growth period. At harvest maximum number of branches per plant (9.66) recorded with the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha which was significantly superior over all other treatments except with the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha (8.89). Maximum number of branches were observed with application of sulphur 40 kg/ha +zinc 20 kg/ha availability of zinc might have stimulated the metabolic and enzymic activity and there by increases the plant growth attributes which increases the number of branches/plant similar results have also reported" by [8].

3.3 Plant Dry Weight (g/plant)

"Observations to be recorded in the dry weight of cowpea were represented in Table 1 the maximum dry weight had given at harvest. At harvest the maximum dry weight (67.90 g/plant) was found in the treatment with application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha which was significantly superior over all other treatments except with the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha were it was recorded (64.98 g/plant). Zn and S application created a balanced nutritional environment which enhanced metabolic activities and photosynthetic rate, resulting in improvement in plant height and ultimately increases plant dry weight. Similar results were reported" by [9,10].

3.4 Yield, Straw Yield and Harvest Index of Cowpea

Observation regarding yield are given in Table 2. Grain yield is an important and considerable trait at all the time. Maximum grain yield (13.67 q/ha) and Straw yield (15.26 q/ha) were recorded with application Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha which was significantly over all the treatments except with the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 15 kg/ha in grain yield (12.03 g/ha) and straw yield (14.27 g/ha) which was statistically at par with the applications of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 20 kg/ha. "The grain yield being the function of cumulative effect of yield attributes, increased significantly due to addition of Sulphur. Sulphur of chloroplast protein resulted in greater photosynthetic efficiency which in turn translated in terms of increase in yield" [4]. Smilar results were also reported by [11], [12]. "Zinc play an important role in biosynthesis of indole acetic acid which is responsible for initiation of primordial for reproductive parts and partitioning of photosynthesis towards them which resulted in better yield. While maximum Harvest index was recorded in the application of Sulphur - 45 kg/ha + Zinc - 10 kg/ha (47.93 %) and found non significant. Similar finding is found due to the increase in grain yield and straw yield the harvest index increases" [13].

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that application of Sulphur – 45 kg/ha + Zinc – 20 kg/ha was found more productive in grain yield (13.67 q/ha) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express gratitude to my advisor Dr. Umesha. C for constant support and guidance. I am indebted to Dr. Joy Dawson, Dr. Rajesh Singh, Dr. Vikram Singh and Dr. Shika Singh and all the faculty members, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ng NQ, Marechal R. Cowpea taxonomy, origin, germplasm. In Cowpea Research Production and Utilization (R.S. Singh and K.O. Rachie, Eds.), John Wiley and Sons, NewYork. 1985:11-22.
- Singh AK, Bhatt BP, Sundaram PK, Kumar S, Bahrati RC, Chandra N, Rai M. Study of site-specific nutrient management of cowpea seed production and their effect on soil nutrient. Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2012;4:191-198.
- Anonymous. Economic survey of India, ministry of finance (economic division) GOI, New Delhi 2012;17-22.
- 4. Karche RP, Dalwadi MR, Patel JC, Gaikwad VP, Panchal DB. Influence of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and nutrient uptake by summer cluster bean grown on typicustochrept of Anand. Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2012;7(2):239-241.
- 5. Prasad AS. Zinc deficiency Has been known for 40 years but ignored by global health organizations. British Medical Journal. 2003;326:409-410.

Yadav et al.; IJECC, 12(10): 93-97, 2022; Article no.IJECC.86864

- Ali J, Singh SP, Singh S. Response of fababean to boron, zinc and sulphur application in alluvial soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2013;61(3):202-206.
- 7. Raiger R, Kumawat BL, Sanwal RC, Kumawat SR, Kumawat SR. Response of Cluster Bean (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.) to Phosphorus and Sulphur in Torripsamments of Rajasthan, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017:6(6):2003-2008.
- Kasturi Krishna S, Ahlawat IPS. Effect of moisture stress, phosphorus, sulphur and zinc fertilizers on growth and development of pea (*Pisum sativum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2000;45(2): 353-356.
- Meena KR, Dahama AK, Reager ML. Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on growth and quality of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.). Annual Agricultural Research. 2006;27(3): 224-226.

- Ramawtar AC, Shivran, Yadav BL. Effect of NP fertilizers, vermicompost and sulphur on growth, yield and quality of cluster bean [*Cymopsis tetragonoloba* (L.)] and their residual effect on grain yield of succeeding wheat [*Triticum aestivum* (L.)]. Legume Research. 2013;36(1):74-78.
- Singh RN, Singh S, Singh B. Interaction effect of sulphur and boron on yield, nutrient uptake and quality characters of soybean [*Glycine Max* (L.) Meril] grown in acidic upland soil. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Sciences. 2006;54(4): 516-518.
- Singh YP, Mann JS. Interaction effect of sulphur and zinc in groundnut and their availability in Tonk district of Rajasthan. Indian Journal Agronomy. 2007;52(1): 70-73.
- Baviskar VS, Shete PG, Daspute RA. Response of summer cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub] to organic fertilizers and different levels of sulphur for vegetable purpose. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2010;6(2):456-458.

© 2022 Yadav et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86864