



# Impact of SERVQUAL and Interaction Quality on Customer Satisfaction and Delight in Transportation Services

Afaq Ahmed Khan<sup>1</sup>, Naqash Ahmad Khan Tareen<sup>1\*</sup> and Saneela Jadoon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Government College of Management Sciences, Abbottabad, Pakistan.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors AAK and NAKT designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors NAKT and SJ managed the literature searches and analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2018/25280

### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Robert G. DelCampo, University of New Mexico, Anderson School of Management, New Mexico, USA.

### Reviewers:

(1) Borislav Kolaric, Serbia.

(2) Mabel Birungi Komunda, Makerere University Business School, Uganda.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/27395>

Received 25 February 2016

Accepted 31 May 2016

Published 03 November 2018

Original Research Article

## ABSTRACT

The study is carried out in order to evaluate the relationship between the constraints that influence Customer Delight and Service Quality, for which Customer Satisfaction acts as mediator and Interaction Quality playing moderating role between SERVQUAL and Customer Satisfaction. For the purpose of data collection and analysis questionnaires were dispersed through convenience sampling technique, obtaining 83.33% response rate and, processed by running several statistical tests to find out the relevant data outcomes. There is an indication of positive results as entries were evaluated, which specify that there is direct relation between the variables. Study focuses on the by-road transportation services excluding cabs or for a single person's hiring of private vehicle. Hence, limited to provision of public travelling services within the city and also across the city. Research work will fill the area where the customer delight and satisfaction needs to be the prior by enhancing service interaction quality and service quality. And will serve this field as a new contribution and positive outcomes to this sector.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [afaq.a47@gmail.com](mailto:afaq.a47@gmail.com);

*Keywords: Customer delight; customer satisfaction; interaction quality; SERVQUAL.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation in Pakistan is widespread, serving a population over 170 million people, network of transportation is well established and both private and public sectors take part in provision of services to the public [1]. Services availed in this sector are not satisfactory as they do not quench the desires of the consumers. Transportation facilitation industry evolved in previous decades and became the major mean for people to travel from one area to another. But as the people became used to it there were number of deficiencies pointed regarding the services provision during their journey.

Terminology Customer Delight is defined as to a surprising degree exceeding expectations of an individual resulting as an exhilarating emotional state [2]. Delight is emotional bond created when an individual experiences unexpectedly fulfillment of his or her needs [3]. Whereas [4] describes Delight as, a result of unexpected and positive experiences related to performance of a service and product.

As the concept of delight evolved, [5] rendered their idea that, the firms should not only satisfy their customers but also focus to delight them. Also this area of study needs more exploration [6]. Satisfaction alone does not assure customer's behavior and it comprehends that delight has become vital area of investigation [7,8,9]. More efforts are required that illustrate clear path to understand the factors of the delight [3] as, it is tough task to delight customers indulged in regular interaction and availing services [10,11]. Business organizations are in a state where they feel that satisfaction levels are at average ranks this may not lead to customer loyalty [12]. Customer Delight has likely more influence on forthcoming customer behavior [13,4,14,2]. Delight derives the users to a new experience of very high pleasure levels and makes them valuable asset of an organization, as [15,16] argue that, to retain the customers and create an emotional attachment with brands the firms should go beyond the expectations of the consumers and delight them. Most effective way to raise retention ratio is through Delighting Customers [17]. Many research approaches indicate customer delight as a tool for creating loyalty [18,19]. This new era moves one step ahead of customer satisfaction and illustrates that the impact of customer delight is more

effective on feelings of the end users, as [20] states that, findings regarding customer satisfaction demonstrate that delight has more strong contribution in creation of intensive positive attitudinal bond. Attributes like emotional associations, strong memories, higher ranks of loyalty, commitment, readiness to pay and encouraging word of mouth are acquired by organizations through delighting their customers [6,20,13,21]. Customer Delight is acquired through delivering superior services and quality products [22,23] and also by delivering reliable services [24,25,26]. Acquiring customer delight needs to consider various aspects, such as assessing what a customer has expectations from a service and how much times they encountered such events that have delighted them and lastly how much satisfaction is different from delight in the context of customer's point of view, thus [3] enlightens, Customer delight can be more significantly evaluated and explored when, the affective factors are recognized that influence in creation of delightfulness, evaluation of individual's experience of delightfulness and, how much delight and satisfaction is differentiated at consumer level.

The proposed study also investigates the influence of service quality and customer satisfaction on customer delight. There is progressive association between service quality and customer satisfaction [27]. The intellectual path is comprehensively examined for both satisfaction and delight [6,2,28]. As the service quality is enhanced higher satisfaction levels are obtained [29]. Marketers have a perception that there is positive connection between quality of service, satisfaction, loyalty and performance of an organization [30]. Also SERVQUAL have a positive impact on the productivity, performance of corporate, satisfying and retaining customers [31,32,33,34,35,36]. Study will demonstrate the moderating effect of interaction quality and effect of SERVQUAL and customer satisfaction on the customer delight.

As this study will elaborate the areas where the organizations must need to focus, which will in-turn can create attraction for investors and researchers towards this sector. Also research will be helpful in covering the area where the customer delight and satisfaction needs to be the prior, by enhancing service interaction quality and service quality. And will assist the stakeholders in value creation for the customers.

Also, it will be helpful to the transport sector in acquiring constructive results for the future.

## 1.1 Problem Statement

In last few decades travelling for people became easier through innovation in automotive sector globally. But still many people face a serious issue of non-availability of sufficient amount of travel services. For the purpose of travelling mostly people use by road services and in Pakistan these facilities are inadequate. In last couple of years Government focused on this problem and launched many projects in same prospect. These steps by Government are based on facilitating people travelling within a city spots. But still mostly passengers suffer a lot during journey to their native places. Idea behind this study work is to explore the area of knowledge of constraints that have impact on the customer delight. And also to judge the validity of these variables in this industry as to what extent they impose their influence.

## 2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

### 2.1 SERVQUAL and Customer Delight

SERVQUAL is defined as purchase intention as a result of an individual's judgment about an overall superiority of a service [22,37,38]. Service quality is perceived judgment about brilliance of a service [39]. SERVQUAL is a component that hints towards Customer Satisfaction [40]. SERVQUAL and customer satisfaction have a valid relationship which is evaluated on the basis of reliance on each other [41]. For determination of Customer satisfaction in services sector SERVQUAL is the most reputable paradigm [42]. Initially [22] illustrated that SERVQUAL can be defined on the basis of 10 dimensions. Redefined on the basis of five dimensions such as tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy [37,43] also defined these dimensions.

#### 2.2.1 Tangibles

This includes appearance of physical facilities, equipment, employees, and communicating materials.

#### 2.2.2 Reliability

Reliably and accurately performing the promised service. As to perform duties on time, correctly and dependably.

#### 2.2.3 Responsiveness

Provision of prompt services and willingness to assist customers.

#### 2.2.4 Assurance

Capability of employees to gain trust and confidence, through knowledge and courteousness.

#### 2.2.5 Empathy

Caring and individualized attention provision to customers by firm.

Delight is a pleasurable experience that goes beyond satisfaction of consumer [17].

Delight is a composition feeling like joy, thrill and exhilaration [11]. Customer delight was also defined by [4] as surprising and progressive level of performance that creates positive emotional reaction. Customer delight is a positive surprise that exceeds the expectations of customers [13]. The levels of excitement have a direct association with delight [44]. Customer delight is also described on the basis of fulfillment of three human needs such as security, justice and self-esteem [5].

#### 2.2.6 Justice

Honest deals with best concern for customers, spending earnings on constant enhancement of product and services, and on basis of loyalty patterns rewarding customers, also not earning higher profits with provision of enough and relevant choices of services.

#### 2.2.7 Esteem

Valuing customer's identity while dealing, providing customized service, also respecting opinion and treating prior to lift self-image, and providing flexible list of options to avail facilities.

#### 2.2.8 Security

Providing secure environment to customers and making them feel like at home, by keeping surrounding clean and neat to keep mind at peace of client, also offering easy refund program, along with maximum trails of a service or product to increase self-reliance.

### 2.2.9 Trust

Taking responsibility of mistakes and admitting it honestly, responding to the issues and handling it in tactful manner. Organizations always keep their word and consistently perform above the industrial standard that is beyond the expectations.

### 2.2.10 Variety

It seeks customer's consideration by a surprising them. Businesses break the industrial pattern by regularly upgrading, and upcoming with new products and services.

Delighting Customers need careful and optimum level of service deliverance especially in services industry [3,45,46,47,48,49,50,51]. Customer Delight is achieved by a superior service experience that is surprising, improved and positive feelings booster [5].

In the light of these studies there seems to be a strong correlation among SERVQUAL and Customer Delight. Thus it can be hypothesized

H1: There is direct impact of SERVQUAL on Customer Delight

## 2.2 Customer Satisfaction as a Mediator

Customer Satisfaction plays role of mediator between SERVQUAL and customer delight and is defined as, the instant emotional state which is blend of expectations and user's feelings that results after experiencing a product or service usage [52]. Post purchase reaction of a user to the difference between significant perceived expectancy and actual performance of product or service [3]. According to [53] satisfaction is the sensation of fulfillment of internal cravings. Customer satisfaction can be defined as meeting the expectations of the customers [54].

There is long-lasting and progressive linkage among quality of service and customer satisfaction [27,55]. Therefore, SERVQUAL is important because it directly stimulates the level of customer satisfaction [54]. Also, Quality enhancement boosts customer satisfaction [56,57,58]. Higher level of customer satisfaction is achieved through improved quality of service [29]. Major point of focus in latest era is Customer Delight and is achieved through astonishing or prime level of customer satisfaction [12,59]. Satisfaction of esteem needs leads to Customer Delight [5].

In consideration of relevant studies there is possibility that, Customer Satisfaction mediates relationship between SERVQUAL and Customer Delight. Thus it is hypothesized that:

H2: Customer Satisfaction plays role of mediator in between SERVQUAL and Customer Delight.

## 2.3 Interaction Quality as Moderator

Interaction Quality moderates impact of SERVQUAL on Customer Satisfaction and is defined as, interactive expectations of users from service dealer during service deliverance process [60,61,62,63]. Interaction Quality influence critically quality of service in the mind of customer [64,65,66]. Such as loyalty, Interaction Quality plays important role towards customer satisfaction while experiencing a quality service [67,68]. Studies have also shown positive results such as [69] confirms that, service interaction quality has positive impact on customer satisfaction, loyalty and other behavioral effects. Behavior of an employee while delivering service affects the perception about the service outcome [70]. The behavior of an employee during facilitation of service is expected to be vital in determining customer satisfaction [71]. Furthermore, earlier proceedings on Service quality propose interaction quality as an antecedent of customer satisfaction [39,72]. Interaction quality is the major element of customer satisfaction [73]. To lead towards customer satisfaction, SERVQUAL with enhanced Interaction Quality plays an important role [74]. Thus it is hypothesized that:

H3: Interaction Quality moderates the impact of SERVQUAL on Customer Satisfaction.

## Theoretical Framework



## 3. METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Sample and Data Collection

For data collection self-administered questionnaires were distributed through

convenience sampling technique. For pilot testing 45 questionnaires were collected back from respondents and the results showed satisfactory outcomes to proceed for further data collection. Response rate was 83.3% as total of 180 questionnaires were dispersed in order to obtain response and acquired 150 useable questionnaires.

### 3.2 Measures and Scales Used

Questionnaire comprises of 55 items and demographics section. Items were measured at 5 point Likert Scale ranging from 1-strongly disagree to 5-strongly agree. Customer Delight consist of 25 items of 5 dimensions [75] and SERVQUAL include 22 items [37]. Customer Satisfaction comprised 4 items [76], and Interaction Quality also comprise of 4 items [77]. SPSS 20 used for statistical tests such as frequencies, reliability of scales, correlation and regression. Also, AMOS 21 is used for mediation through boot strapping technique.

## 4. DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows demographics for sample size N=150 to observe the outcome of service quality and customer satisfaction along with interaction quality on customer delight. Sample comprises of 64.7% of male and 35.3% of female and are further categorized under marital status as single (88.7%) and married (11.3%), under four age groups of 20 to 40 years (20-25= 87.3%, 26-30= 9.3%, 31-35= 2.7% and 36-40= 0.7%), with qualification level of Intermediate (8.7%), Bachelors (73.3%), Masters (17.3%) and MS/M.Phil.(0.7%), and employment status as employed (20.0%), unemployed (2.7%), Student (76.0%) and other (1.3%).

In Table 2 Reliability of the survey items is shown and Cronbach's Alpha value depicts good level of consistency service quality ( $\alpha=73\%$ ), customer satisfaction ( $\alpha=80\%$ ), interaction quality ( $\alpha=77\%$ ) and customer delight ( $\alpha=92\%$ ). These values of Cronbach's Alpha indicate that all the variables have reasonable value and will give reliable outcome in analyzing these variables.

Table 3 gives correlational linkage between variables will be positive and closer to +1 will have strong relationships. Correlational mean

values illustrate all the components having positive and significant satisfactory strong relationships between them, as mean values for service quality in terms of customer satisfaction, customer delight and interaction quality are 56%, 48% and 59% respectively, for customer satisfaction mean values of interaction quality and customer delight are 72% and 73% respectively, and interaction quality correlating customer delight at 67%. So here it is observed that all the variables have a rational positive strong association and this indicates they are interdependent.

Table 4 illustrates linear regression analysis and through analyzing these results it is noticed that SERVQUAL, Customer Satisfaction and Customer Delight have strong association between them and alter each other up to reasonable extent. Results depict individual impact of variables in Table 4.1, as 1 unit change in SQ will bring 86% (0.856 unit) change in CD and shows positive relationship ( $R = 0.586$ ) while variance in CD due to SQ is 34% ( $R^2 = 0.344$ ,  $\Delta R^2 = 0.339$ ) and can be generalized on population ( $F=77.443$ ). Also Table 4.2 shows, 1 unit change in SQ will bring 113% (1.132 unit) deviation in CS, and shows positive relationship ( $R=0.562$ ), while variance in CS due to SQ is 31% ( $R^2=0.316$ ,  $\Delta R^2=0.311$ ), and can be generalized on population ( $F=68.329$ ). Also in Table 4.3, 1unit change in CS will cause alterations of 53% (0.532 units) in CD with positive association ( $R=0.734$ ) along with variance ( $R^2=0.539$ ,  $\Delta R^2=0.535$ ) of 54% in CD due to CS, and is generalizable ( $F=172.739$ ).

Table 5 demonstrates Moderated regression analysis for interaction quality as moderator for service quality and customer satisfaction. As interaction quality along with service quality deviates customer satisfaction ( $\beta=19\%$ ) for positive and significant relationship ( $R=76\%$ ), with variance of 57% ( $R^2=58\%$  and  $\Delta R^2=57\%$ ). Table 6 comprehend that there is indication of partial mediation, as through boot strapping technique it is noticed that partial the total effect of customer satisfaction on customer delight is 86% with significant p-value= 0.003. Direct effect having mean value of 37% with p-value=0.003 and indirect effect 49% with p-value=0.002 depicting partial mediation.

**Table 1. Demographics**

Description		Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	97	64.7
	Female	53	35.3
Age	20-25	131	87.3
	26-30	14	9.3
	31-35	4	2.7
	36-40	1	0.7
Marital status	Single	133	88.7
	Married	17	11.3
Education level	Intermediate	13	8.7
	Bachelors	110	73.3
	Masters	26	17.3
	MS/M.Phil.	1	.7
Employment status	Employed	30	20.0
	Unemployed	4	2.7
	Student	114	76.0
	Other	2	1.3

N= 150

**Table 2. Reliability of scales**

Variable	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Items removed
SQ	22	0.728	0
CD	25	0.917	0
CS	4	0.797	0
IQ	4	0.774	0

**Table 3. Correlation**

Variable	SQ	CS	IQ	CD
SQ	1			
CS	.562**	1		
IQ	.476**	.722**	1	
CD	.586**	.734**	.668**	1

\*\*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Table 4. Regression**

**Table 4.1. Impact of SERVQUAL on customer delight**

Variable	$\beta$	R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	Sig.
SQ	.856	.344	.339	.000

Dependent Variable: CD

Source: Field Data N=150

Model: R= .586, F= 77.443, t= 8.800

**Table 4.2. Impact of SERVQUAL on customer satisfaction**

Variable	$\beta$	R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	Sig.
SQ	1.132	.316	.311	.000

Dependent Variable: CS

Source: Field Data N=150

Model: R= .562, F= 68.329, t= 8.266

**Table 4.3. Impact of customer satisfaction on customer delight**

Variable	$\beta$	R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	Sig.
CS	.532	.539	.535	.000

Dependent Variable: CD  
 Source: Field Data N=150  
 Model: R= .734, F= 172.739, t= 13.143

**Table 5. Moderated regression**

Variable	$\beta$	R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	Sig.
SQIQ	.188	.576	.570	.000

Dependent Variable: CS  
 Source: Field Data N=150  
 Model: R= .759, F= 99.893, t= 9.500

**Table 6. Mediation (Bootstrapping AMOS)**

Casual Path	Standardized coefficient	p-value	Hypothesis	Accepted/Rejected
*SQ =>CD	.856	0.003		
**SQ =>CD	.371	0.003	H2	Accepted - Partial Mediation
***SQ=>CS=>CD	.485	0.002		

\*Total Effect  
 \*\*Direct Effect  
 \*\*\*Indirect Effect

**5. CONCLUSION**

Results of the study indicate that there is positive impact of service quality on customer delight, as improved and enhanced quality of service dimensions accommodate in producing customer delight for the end users while facilitation of transportation services. Also interaction quality is another factor that has moderating effect and boosts service quality that is helpful in co-creating customer satisfaction. Hence, for generating customer delight the customer's needs to be satisfied by provision of superior quality service and enhanced interactive quality.

**6. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Study high-lightens the area where customer delight needs to be prior and requires enhancement in transportation industry. The level of services are below par and related small and large enterprises need to focus on quality service provision along with high interactive quality which leads to customer satisfaction and delight, which results into high profitability of an organization and can acquire high market share. Managers can transform these results into broader level for developing strategies to acquire competitive edge in the market.

**7. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTION**

Research study is focused on by road services provision and limited to city-to-city or within city locations. Due to shorter time, survey is bound to respondents from few cities and comprises comparatively less sized sample. For intensive studies sampling plan can be adopted through probability sampling or even through judgmental sampling techniques while inducing some other relative constraints to further expand the sphere of knowledge in relative field. Studies can be further explored towards the facilitation of travelling services through other means of transportation as these outcomes may vary sector to sector and are not just limited to this certain area of focus.

**COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**REFERENCES**

1. Transport in Pakistan. From [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transport in P akistan](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transport_in_Pakistan); 2015.
2. Oliver RL, Rust RT, Varki S. Customer delight: foundations, findings, and

- managerial insight. *Journal of Retailing*. 1997;73(3):311-336.  
DOI: 10.1016/S0022-4359(97)90021-X.
3. Barnes DC, Ponder N, Dugar K. Investigating the key routes to customer delight. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*. 2011;19(4):359-376.  
DOI: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679190401.
  4. Finn A. Reassessing the foundations of customer delight. *Journal of Service Research*. 2005;8(2):103-116.
  5. Schneider B, Bowen DE. Understanding customer delight and outrage. *MIT Sloan Management Review*. 1999;41(1):35.
  6. Arnold MJ, Reynolds KE, Ponder N, Lueg JE. Customer delight in a retail context: investigating delightful and terrible shopping experiences. *Journal of Business Research*. 2005;58(8):1132-1145.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2004.01.006.
  7. Jones T. Why satisfied Customers Defect [Electronic resource]. *Harvard Law Review*; 1995.
  8. Keaveney SM. Customer switching behavior in service industries: An exploratory study. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1995:71-82.  
DOI: 10.2307/1252074.
  9. Verma HV. Customer outrage and delight. *Journal of Services Research*. 2003;3(1):119.
  10. Dixon M, Freeman K, Toman N. Stop trying to delight your customers. *Harvard Business Review*. 2010;88(7/8):116-122.
  11. Kumar A, Olshavsky RW, King MF. Exploring alternative antecedents of customer delight. *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction*. 2001;14:14.
  12. Alexander MW. Customer delight: A review. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*. 2010;14(1):39.
  13. Berman B. How to delight your customers. *California Management Review*. 2005; 48(1):129-151.
  14. McNeilly KM, Feldman Barr T. I love my accountants-they're wonderful: Understanding customer delight in the professional services arena. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 2006;20(3):152-159.  
DOI: 10.1108/08876040610665607.
  15. Gyung Kim M, Mattila AS. Does a surprise strategy need words? The effect of explanations for a surprise strategy on customer delight and expectations. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 2013;27(5):361-370.  
DOI: 10.1108/JSM-01-2012-0008.
  16. Masroujeh WFT. Critical factors for customer satisfaction and delight in the Palestinian pharmaceutical market. *Islamic University-Gaza*; 2009.
  17. Parasuraman A, Zeithaml VA, Berry LL. Servqual. *Journal of Retailing*. 1988;64(1):12-40.
  18. Crofts JC, Magnini VP. The customer delight construct: is surprise essential? *Annals of Tourism Research*. 2011;38(2):719-722.
  19. Loureiro SMC, Kastenholtz E. Corporate reputation, satisfaction, delight, and loyalty towards rural lodging units in Portugal. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 2011;30(3):575-583.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2010.10.007.
  20. Barnes DC, Beauchamp MB, Webster C. To delight, or not to delight? This is the question service firms must address. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*. 2010;18(3):275-284.  
DOI: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679180305.
  21. Keiningham TL, Vavra TG. *The customer delight principle: Exceeding customers' expectations for bottom-line success*: McGraw-Hill; 2001.
  22. Parasuraman A, Grewal D. The impact of technology on the quality-value-loyalty chain: a research agenda. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 2000;28(1):168-174.  
DOI: 10.1177/0092070300281015
  23. Patterson K. Delighted clients are loyal clients. *Rough Notes*. 1997;140(3):221-234.
  24. Garbarino E, Johnson MS. The different roles of satisfaction, trust, and commitment in customer relationships. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1999:70-87.  
DOI: 10.2307/1251946.
  25. Loureiro SMC, González FJM. The importance of quality, satisfaction, trust, and image in relation to rural tourist loyalty. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*. 2008;25(2):117-136.  
DOI: 10.1080/10548400802402321.
  26. Singh J, Sirdeshmukh D. Agency and trust mechanisms in consumer satisfaction and loyalty judgments. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 2000;28(1):150-167.  
DOI: 10.1177/0092070300281014.
  27. Kotler P, Armstrong G. *Principles of Marketing*: Pearson Education; 2010.
  28. Rust RT, Oliver RL. Should we delight the customer? *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 2000;28(1):86-94.



- DOI: 10.1177/0092070300281008.
29. Johns N, Avci T, Karatepe OM. Measuring service quality of travel agents: evidence from Northern Cyprus. *The Service Industries Journal*. 2004;24(3):82-100. DOI: 10.1080/0264206042000247777.
  30. Preko A, Agbanu SK, Feglo M. Service Delivery, Customer Satisfaction and Customer Delight in the Real Estate Business. Evidence from Elite Kingdom Investment and Consulting Company Ghana. *European Journal of Business and Management*. 2014;6(3):71-83.
  31. Ali F. An assessment of the service quality using gap analysis: a study conducted at Chitral, Pakistan. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research In Business*. 2012;4(3):259.
  32. Amin M, Yahya Z, Ismayatim, WFA, Nasharuddin SZ, Kassim E. Service quality dimension and customer satisfaction: An empirical study in the Malaysian Hotel Industry. *Services Marketing Quarterly*. 2013;34(2):115-125. DOI: 10.1080/15332969.2013.770665.
  33. Cronin Jr JJ, Taylor SA. Measuring service quality: a reexamination and extension. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1992:55-68. DOI: 10.2307/1252296.
  34. Seth N, Deshmukh S, Vrat P. Service quality models: a review. *International journal of Quality & Reliability Management*. 2005;22(9):913-949. DOI: 10.1108/02656710510625211.
  35. Sultan P, Yin Wong H. Antecedents and consequences of service quality in a higher education context: a qualitative research approach. *Quality Assurance in Education*. 2013;21(1):70-95. DOI: 10.1108/09684881311293070.
  36. Sureshchandar G, Rajendran C, Anantharaman R. The relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction-a factor specific approach. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 2002;16(4):363-379. DOI: 10.1108/08876040210433248.
  37. Parasuraman A, Zeithaml VA & Berry LL. A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1985:41-50. DOI: 10.2307/1251430.
  38. Zeithaml VA, Berry LL, Parasuraman A. The behavioral consequences of service quality. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1996:31-46. DOI: 10.2307/1251929.
  39. Caruana A, Money AH, Berthon PR. Service quality and satisfaction-the moderating role of value. *European Journal of Marketing*. 2000;34(11/12): 1338-1353. DOI: 10.1108/03090560010764432.
  40. Yesilada F, Direktör E. Health care service quality: A comparison of public and private hospitals. *African Journal of Business Management*. 2010;4(6):962.
  41. Vo Vad, Nguyen Dh, Ho Ta, Nguyen Am. Evaluating Customer Satisfaction on Service Quality On Bana Hills; 2016.
  42. Lupo T. Handling stakeholder uncertain judgments in strategic transport service analyses. *Transport Policy*. 2013;29:54-63. DOI: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2013.04.002.
  43. Van Iwaarden J, Van der Wiele T, Ball L, Millen R. Applying SERVQUAL to web sites: An exploratory study. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*. 2003;20(8):919-935. DOI: 10.1108/02656710310493634
  44. Maria Correia Loureiro SJ, Miranda F, Breazeale M. Who needs delight? The greater impact of value, trust and satisfaction in utilitarian, frequent-use retail. *Journal of Service Management*. 2014;25(1):101-124. DOI: 10.1108/JOSM-06-2012-0106.
  45. Choi KS, Cho WH, Lee S, Lee H, Kim, C. The relationships among quality, value, satisfaction and behavioral intention in health care provider choice: A South Korean study. *Journal of Business Research*. 2004;57(8):913-921. DOI: 10.1016/S0148-2963(02)00293-X.
  46. Cronin JJ, Brady MK, Hult GTM. Assessing the effects of quality, value, and customer satisfaction on consumer behavioral intentions in service environments. *Journal of Retailing*. 2000;76(2):193-218. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-4359(00)00028-2.
  47. Kim YK, Cho CH, Ahn SK, Goh IH, Kim HJ. A study on medical services quality and its influence upon value of care and patient satisfaction—Focusing upon outpatients in a large-sized hospital. *Total Quality Management*. 2008;19(11):1155-1171. DOI: 10.1080/14783360802323594.
  48. Lee WI, Chen CW, Chen TH, Chen CY. The relationship between consumer orientation, service value, medical care service quality and patient satisfaction: The case of a medical center in Southern

- Taiwan. *African Journal of Business Management*. 2010;4(4):448.
49. Oyeniyi O, Joachim AA. Customer service in the retention of mobile phone users in Nigeria. *African Journal of Business Management*. 2008;2(2):26.
50. Scotti DJ, Harmon J, Behson SJ, Messina DJ. Links among high-performance work environment, service quality, and customer satisfaction: an extension to the healthcare sector/practitioner application. *Journal of Healthcare Management*. 2007;52(2): 109.
51. Zairi M. Managing customer dissatisfaction through effective complaints management systems. *The TQM Magazine*. 2000;12(5): 331-337.  
DOI: 10.1108/09544780010341932.
52. Oliver RL. Measurement and evaluation of satisfaction processes in retail settings. *Journal of Retailing*. 1981.
53. Zeithaml VA. Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1988;2-22.  
DOI: 10.2307/1251446.
54. Malik ME, Ghafoor MM, Hafiz KI. Impact of Brand Image, Service Quality and price on customer satisfaction in Pakistan Telecommunication sector. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*. 2012;3(23).
55. Lee J, Strazicich MC. Minimum Lagrange multiplier unit root test with two structural breaks. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 2003;85(4):1082-1089.  
DOI: 10.1162/003465303772815961.
56. Bolton RN, Drew JH. A multistage model of customers' assessments of service quality and value. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 1991;375-384.
57. Nilsson L, Johnson MD, Gustafsson A. The impact of quality practices on customer satisfaction and business results: product versus service organizations. *Journal of Quality Management*. 2001;6(1):5-27.  
DOI: 10.1016/S1084-8568(01)00026-8.
58. Simester DI, Hauser JR, Wernerfelt B, Rust RT. Implementing quality improvement programs designed to enhance customer satisfaction: Quasi-experiments in the United States and Spain. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 2000;37(1):102-112.  
DOI: 10.1509/jmkr.37.1.102.18721.
59. Blackwell RD, Miniard PW, Engel JF. *Consumer Behavior* (10th ed.). Thomson South Western; 2006.
60. Brady MK, Cronin Jr JJ. Some new thoughts on conceptualizing perceived service quality: a hierarchical approach. *Journal of Marketing*. 2001;65(3):34-49.  
DOI: 10.1509/jmkg.65.3.34.18334.
61. Gronroos C. *Strategic Management and Marketing in the service*; 1982.
62. Grönroos C. A service quality model and its marketing implications. *European Journal of Marketing*. 1984;18(4):36-44.  
DOI: 10.1108/EUM0000000004784.
63. Lemke F, Clark M, Wilson H. Customer experience quality: an exploration in business and consumer contexts using repertory grid technique. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 2011;39(6):846-869.  
DOI: 10.1007/s11747-010-0219-0.
64. Bitner MJ, Booms BH, Mohr LA. Critical service encounters: The employee's viewpoint. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1994;95-106.  
DOI: 10.2307/1251919.
65. Lin NP, Chiu HC, Hsieh, Y.-C. Investigating the relationship between service providers' personality and customers' perceptions of service quality across gender. *Total Quality Management*. 2001;12(1):57-67.  
DOI: 10.1080/09544120020010093.
66. Surprenant CF, Solomon MR. Predictability and personalization in the service encounter. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1987;86-96.  
DOI: 10.2307/1251131.
67. Gerrard P, Cunningham B. Bank service quality: a comparison between a publicly quoted bank and a government bank in Singapore. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*. 2001;6(1):50-66.  
DOI: 10.1057/palgrave.fsm.4770040.
68. Jamal A, Naser K. Customer satisfaction and retail banking: an assessment of some of the key antecedents of customer satisfaction in retail banking. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 2002;20(4):146-160.  
DOI: 10.1108/02652320210432936.
69. Ganesh J, Arnold MJ, Reynolds KE. Understanding the customer base of service providers: an examination of the differences between switchers and stayers. *Journal of Marketing*. 2000;64(3):65-87.  
DOI: 10.1509/jmkg.64.3.65.18028.

70. Bitner MJ. Evaluating service encounters: the effects of physical surroundings and employee responses. *The Journal of Marketing*. 1990;69-82. DOI: 10.2307/1251871.
71. Van Dolen W, De Ruyter K, Lemmink J. An empirical assessment of the influence of customer emotions and contact employee performance on encounter and relationship satisfaction. *Journal of Business Research*. 2004;57(4):437-444. DOI: 10.1016/S0148-2963(02)00277-1.
72. Ekinci Y, Dawes PL, Massey GR. An extended model of the antecedents and consequences of consumer satisfaction for hospitality services. *European Journal of Marketing*. 2008;42(1/2):35-68. DOI: 10.1108/03090560810840907.
73. Ekinci Y, Dawes PL. Consumer perceptions of frontline service employee personality traits, interaction quality, and consumer satisfaction. *The Service Industries Journal*. 2009;29(4):503-521. DOI: 10.1080/02642060802283113.
74. Chen CM, Chen SH, Lee HT. Interrelationships between physical environment quality, personal interaction quality, satisfaction and behavioural intentions in relation to customer loyalty: The case of Kinmen's bed and breakfast industry. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*. 2013;18(3):262-287. DOI: 10.1080/10941665.2011.647041.
75. Kwong KK, Yau OH. The conceptualization of customer delight: A research framework. *Asia Pacific Management Review*. 2002;7(2).
76. Westbrook RA, Oliver RL. The dimensionality of consumption emotion patterns and consumer satisfaction. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 1991:84-91.
77. Ekinci Y. The validation of the generic service quality dimensions: an alternative approach. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 2001;8(6):311-324. DOI: 10.1016/S0969-6989(00)00037-0.

© 2018 Khan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/27395>