

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

33(24): 150-154, 2021; Article no.IJPSS.78354 ISSN: 2320-7035

Influence of Abiotic Factors on Population of Aphid Complex and Its Coexisting Natural Enemies in Mustard Agroecosystem

Somala Karthik ^{a*} and U. Mukherjee ^a

^a Department of Entomology, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2021/v33i2430762 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Hon H. Ho, State University of New York, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) K. Baghyalakshmi, Central Institute for Cotton Research, India. (2) Atul Kumar, G.B. Pant University Of Agricture And Technology, India. (3) Dibyajyoti Talukdar, Central Agricultural University, India. Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/78354</u>

> Received 05 October 2021 Accepted 07 December 2021 Published 14 December 2021

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to determine the population build-up of mustard aphid and their natural enemies in relation to abiotic factors at TCA, Dholi during the *Rabi* season of 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The incidence of mustard aphid started in the 2nd and 3rd SMW of 2019 and 2020 respectively and reached maximum in 8th SMW in both the years. However, the predatory activity of coccinellids, syrphid larvae and spiders were started from 3rd SW with their peak activity at 3rd and 4th week of February in both the years. Mustard aphid population exhibited positive correlation with maximum temperature (0.347 & 0.543), minimum temperature (0.317 & 0.152) and negative correlation with relative humidity (-0.083 & -0.479) during 2019-20 & 2020-21 respectively. However, natural enemies *viz.*, coccinellids, syrphid larvae and spiders exhibited positive correlation with Tmax, Tmin, rainfall and negative correlation with RH in both the years.

Keywords: Mustard aphid; natural enemies; abiotic factors; correlation.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: somalakarthik1995@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Mustard is the 2nd most important edible oil seeds in India after groundnut and accounts for nearly 30% of the total oil seeds produced in the country. It has multifaceted uses *i.e.*, seed as a condiment, edible oil, leafy vegetables, oil cake and also have immense nutritive value. It is the most important edible oil in North India and it would be difficult to replace it with any other oil seed crop. However, the production of mustard is hindering due to various abiotic and biotic factors. Among biotic factors, mustard aphid (Lipaphyis erysimi group, Myzus persicae and Brevicoryne brassicae) a potentially serious Key pest of mustard crop has still been taking away of heavy loss of production. This noxious pest is responsible to inflict 27 to 96 % yield loss in mustard in India [1]. The knowledge on the biology of pest is very important because it is influenced by interaction among individuals of the species, their habitats and the surrounding environment including the climatic regime. The study of relationship between insects and its environment provides basic information about the population density and pest management measures to be undertaken for effective management with regard to the population levels [2]. Monitoring of pest population and measuring the abundance of natural enemies relatively is important in any pest control programme to determine the spray schedule of insecticides and to reduce the problem of pesticide residues [3]. Abiotic factors including temperature, relative humidity, rainfall and sunshine have a significant influence on the population of insect pests [4].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted during 2019-20 & 2020-21 in the Experimental Farm of the Department of Entomology, TCA Dholi, Bihar, India (25°59'10.7" N latitude and 85°40'51.5" E longitude). For this purpose, mustard variety yellow sarson (66-197-3) was raised in an area of 420 m² following the recommended package of practices except crop protection measures for the survey and investigation. Observations on population of mustard aphid and its natural enemies were recorded at weekly intervals under natural field conditions on twenty randomly tagged plants between 09:00 to 16:00 h when sunny to mostly sunny [5]. The population of mustard aphid was recorded from top 10 to 15 cm portion of the terminal shoot and for natural enemies whole plant visual inspection for at least 15 minutes were carried out [6]. The observations were taken from the initial appearance of the mustard aphid and its natural enemies to their final disappearance. Relation between mustard aphid and their natural enemies and different weather parameters were worked out by Pearson correlation coefficient. Data on different weather parameters [maximum and minimum temperatures (°C), morning and evening relative humidity (%) and total rainfall (mm)] were obtained from nearby Agro meteorological observatory.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Mustard Aphid Complex

The infestation of mustard aphid complex started from 3rd Standard Week (SW) and 2nd SW during 2019-20 and 2020-21, respectively (Tables 1-2). Maximum population of 125.37 and 115.64 aphids/ top 10 cm central shoot was observed on 8th SW in both the years respectively. Thereafter gradual decline in the population of aphid was evident. Severity of mustard aphid complex was higher during 2019-2020 as compared to the year 2020-2021. The present observations on seasonal incidence of mustard aphid complex are in partial conformity with the findings of earlier workers done by [7], [8], [9] who also found that aphid population reaches its peak in the middle of February when crop was 75 days old and after that it started Aphid population was positively decline. correlated with maximum temperature (0.347 & 0.543), minimum temperature (0.317 & 0.152) and negative correlation with relative humidity (-0.083 & -0.479) during 2019-20 & 2020-21 respectively (Table 3). These results are in accordance with the results of [10]; [11], who reported that the aphid population was noticed to be positively governed by temperature. Whereas, relative humidity and rainfall had shown negative effect.

3.2 Natural Enemies

Natural enemies play a crucial role in influencing the mustard aphid population in relation to abiotic factors. Coccinellids, syrphid larvae and spiders are the dominant predators in mustard ecosystem in both the years. Monitoring of abundance of natural enemies is an important component of are wide pest control which overcome the usage of insecticides. Karthik and Mukherjee; IJPSS, 33(24): 150-154, 2021; Article no.IJPSS.78354

Month	SMW	Mean no. of mustard aphid	Mean no. of coccinellids	Mean no. of Syrphid larvae	Mean no. of spiders	Tmax (⁰C)	Tmin (⁰C)	RH (%)	Rainfall (mm)
January	1	0	0	0	0	13.9	6.9	86	0
	2	0	0	0	0	12.8	6.3	88.5	0
	3	3.45	0	0	0.25	15.2	8.3	86	0
	4	9.51	0.55	0.48	0.58	20	8.5	82	0
	5	45.24	1.98	1.21	1.22	21.8	9.9	79.5	0
February	6	98.12	3.21	2.84	1.94	24.9	10.2	75.5	0
	7	110.1	3.74	3.12	2.42	24.3	11.5	80	0
	8	125.37	5.48	4.35	3.74	28.2	13.1	78	0
	9	91.43	4.42	3.02	3.62	29.1	16.1	75.5	0
March	10	34.35	1.25	0.78	2.55	30.6	14.6	67	0
	11	12.03	0.64	0.46	1.31	31.6	16.5	70	0
	12	0	0	0	0	34	14.8	62.5	0

Table 1. Population dynamics of aphid complex and coexisting predators on mustard duringRabi, 2019-20

Table 2. Population dynamics of aphid complex and coexisting predators on mustard during
Rabi, 2020-21

Month	SMW	Mean no. of mustard aphid	Mean no. of coccinellids	Mean no. of Syrphid larvae	Mean no. of spiders	Tmax (⁰C)	Tmin (⁰C)	RH (%)	Rainfall (mm)
January	1	0	0	0	0.31	15.7	5.9	83	0
	2	6.32	0	0	0.55	19.1	9.3	83.5	0
	3	11.55	0	0	0.65	17.1	8.7	88.5	1.8
	4	38.66	0.95	0.36	1.01	19.9	10.9	84.5	4.4
	5	20.41	1.63	0.98	1.11	20.8	7.6	76	0
February	6	63.01	2.01	1.78	1.48	22.7	9.5	80	0
	7	95.34	2.71	3.03	2.11	23.3	8.8	71.5	0
	8	115.64	4.89	3.54	2.41	25	10.9	77	0
	9	48.89	2.62	2.51	2.01	26.5	13.5	82.5	24
March	10	32.34	4.24	2.12	3.84	26.6	13.9	79.5	0
	11	15.98	1.05	0.85	1.06	27.1	15.7	79	8.2
	12	4.81	0.21	0.35	0.63	26.7	15.3	75	4.4

Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficient of aphid complex and coexisting predators with weather parameters (2019-20 & 2020-21)

Year	Weather parameters	Mustard aphid	Coccinellids	Syrphid Iarvae	Spiders
2019-20	Tmax	0.347	0.379	0.354	0.536
	Tmin	0.317	0.382	0.333	0.589 [*]
	RH	-0.083	-0.091	-0.070	-0.277
	Rainfall	-	-	-	-
2020-21	Tmax	0.543	0.579*	0.586*	0.599*
	Tmin	0.152	0.226	0.171	0.337
	RH	-0.458	-0.437	-0.552	-0.340
	Rainfall	-0.35	0.033	0.155	0.053

*= Significant at P = 0.05

3.2.1 Coccinellids

Coccinellids are the potential predator of mustard aphid. Initially, the coccinellid population was low but increased sustainably with an increase in the aphid population. Incidence of ladybird beetles were started from 4th SW in both the years with a population of 0.55 and 0.95/plant, respectively (Tables 1-2). The population of coccinellids reached at its peak of 5.48 and 4.89/ plant in 8th SW of both the years. Afterwards, coccinellids populations decreased gradually due to a reduction in prey density. Coccinellid population was positively correlated with Tmax (0.379 & 0.579), Tmin (0.382 & 0.226), rainfall (0.033) and negatively correlated with RH (-0.091 & -0.437) (Table 3). The results are in line with the findings of [12].

3.2.2 Syrphid larvae

Syrphid larvae are important predators in mustard crop and actively feed on nymphs and adults of aphids. The population of the syrphid larvae started from 3rd SW in both the years with a population of 0.48 and 0.36/plant (Tables 1-2). The population of the syrphid fly reached at its peak of 4.35 and 3.54/plant in 8th SW during both the years. Syrphid larvae population was positively correlated with Tmax (0.354 & 0.586), Tmin (0.333 & 0.171), rainfall (0.155) and negatively correlated with RH (-0.070 & -0.552) (Table 3). The results are in line with the findings of Dwivedi et al. [12].

3.2.3 Spiders

Spiders are generalist predators which largely feed on sucking pests such as aphids as well as various lepidopteran pests recorded in the mustard ecosystem. Spiders were present during the entire crop period starting from January to March. The population of the spiders started from 4th and 3rd SW in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively, with a population of 0.25 and 0.31/plant. The population of the spiders reached at its peak of 4.35 and 3.54/plant in 8th SW during both the years. Literature concerning population dynamics of spiders in mustard crop is scanty. However, [13] observed the peak spider population during February and March in tomato crop. It showed a positive correlation with Tmax (0.536 & 0.599), Tmin (0.589 & 0.337), rainfall (0.053) and negatively correlated with RH (-0.277 & -0.340) (Table 3). In contrast, a positive correlation with temperature and sunshine hours; negative correlation with relative humidity has been reported [14,13].

4. CONCLUSION

The population of mustard aphid complex has a positive correlation with maximum and minimum temperature and negative correlation with relative humidity and rainfall. Furthermore, the population of predators viz., coccinellids, syrphid larvae and spiders exhibit a positive correlation with temperature and rainfall and negative correlation with relative humidity. Thorough knowledge of population dynamic studies of mustard aphid complex and its natural enemies in relation to biotic factors may strengthen the development of efficient pest management strategies. A holistic IPM program can be designed against aphid complex in mustard where predators can be used in conjugation with other control strategies. This may reduce the dependence on pesticides and may reduce the problems associated with indiscriminate pesticide usage.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bakhetia DR, Sidhu SS. Effect of rainfall and temperature on the mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach). Indian J Entom. 1983;45(2):202-5.
- 2. Croft BA, Hoyt SC. Integrated management of insect pests of pome and stone fruits. Wiley-Interscience publication: New York. 1983;354-389.
- Sarwar M. Populations' synchronization of aphids Homoptera: Aphididae and ladybird beetles Coleoptera: Coccinellidae and exploitation of food attractants for predator. Biyolojik Çeşitlilik ve Koruma. 2009;2(2): 85-9.

- 4. Agarwal PK, Dadheech LN. Incidence of aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) on some cruciferous crop and chemical control in cauliflower. Indian J Applied Entomol. 1999;4:19-25.
- Kieckhefer RW, Elliott NC, Beck DA. Aphidophagous coccinellids in alfalfa, small grains, and maize in eastern South Dakota. The Great Lakes Entomologist. 1992;25(1):3.
- Patel SR, Awasthi AK, Tomar RK. Assessment of yield losses in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) due to mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt.) under different thermal environments in Eastern Central India. Appl Ecol Environ Res. 2004;2(1): 1-5.
- 7. Panda D, Thakur BS, Patro B. Population dynamics of Lipaphis erysimi (Kalt.) on *Brassica juncea* L. at Raipur, Madhya Pradesh. Plant Protection Bulletin (Faridabad). 2000;52(3/4):28-30.
- Maji A, Pal S, Chatterjee M, Sahoo SK. Seasonal incidence of aphid and their natural enemies on mustard from terai region of West Bengal. J Entomol Res. 2020;44(4):555-8.
- 9. Debnath P, Pal S. Population dynamics of mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*) with relation to some micro-meteorological parameters. Journal of Pharmacognosy

and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):879-884.

- Hasan MR, Ahmad M, Rahman MH, Haque MA. Aphid incidence and its correlation with different environmental factors. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University. 2009;7:452-2016-35459).
- Abbas Q, Ijaz A, Shahid MA, Akhtar MF, Mussurat H, Muhammad A, Ali R. Role of climatic factors on population fluctuation of aphids (*Brevicoryne brassicae, Myzus persicae* and *Lipaphis erysimi*) on canola (*Brassica napus*) in Punjab, Pakistan. Pakistan J Nutr. 2014;13(12):705-9.
- 12. Dwivedi SA, Singh RS, Gharde SK. Populations build-up of mustard aphid and their natural enemies in relation to biotic and abiotic factors. Plant Archives. 2018;18(2):2495-500.
- Subba B. Studies on the pest complex of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) and their sustainable management. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, College of Agriculture, Pundibari, coochbehar, West Bengal, India; 2013.
- 14. Patel ML, Patel KG, Pandya HV. Correlation of spiders with weather parameters and insect pests of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Insect Environment. 2005;11(1): 23-5.

© 2021 Karthik and Mukherjee; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/78354